International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

The American fashion manufacturing renaissance: A comprehensive analysis of reshoring initiatives, technological innovation, and supply chain transformation

Oluwabusola Dorcas Olagunju *, Daniel Kweku Assumang, Stephen Okyere Boansi, Uzochi Achumba and Samuel Yaw Larbi

College of Professional Studies, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 13(02), 2435–2455

Publication history: Received on 28 October 2024; revised on 04 December 2024; accepted on 07 December 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.13.2.2428

Abstract

This paper examines the ongoing renaissance in American fashion manufacturing through reshoring initiatives, analyzing the complex interplay of factors driving this transformation. The study explores how recent global disruptions, including the COVID-19 pandemic, trade tensions, and supply chain vulnerabilities, have accelerated the movement toward domestic production. Through analysis of case studies, market data, and industry trends, the research demonstrates how technological innovation, changing consumer preferences, and sustainability imperatives are reshaping the economic viability of domestic manufacturing. The paper investigates key challenges in workforce development, infrastructure modernization, and cost competitiveness, while highlighting successful strategies employed by industry leaders. Findings indicate that while domestic production costs remain 15-25% higher than offshore alternatives, benefits in supply chain resilience, market responsiveness, and environmental impact create compelling arguments for reshoring. The research concludes that successful reshoring requires coordinated efforts across stakeholders, sustained investment in technology and workforce development, and strategic policy support to create a competitive and sustainable domestic manufacturing base

Keywords: Fashion manufacturing; Reshoring; Supply chain resilience; Supply chain optimization; Digital manufacturing; Circular economy; Manufacturing technology; Industrial renaissance

1. Introduction

The American fashion industry stands at a pivotal crossroads. The disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, escalating geopolitical tensions, and the stark realization of supply chain vulnerabilities have catalyzed a fundamental reimagining of how and where clothing and textiles are manufactured [1][2]. In 2020, when global supply chains ground to a halt, major retailers like Gap Inc. and Brooks Brothers faced inventory shortages estimated at $45 billion, highlighting the precarious nature of over-reliance on distant manufacturing hubs [3]. This watershed moment has accelerated a movement that was already gaining momentum: the renaissance of American fashion manufacturing through reshoring initiatives [1].

The concept of reshoring is bringing manufacturing operations back to American soil; represents more than a mere tactical response to recent global disruptions. It embodies a strategic reimagining of the American fashion industry's future [4]. When Nike announced in 2023 its $70 million investment in a new automated manufacturing facility in North Carolina, it signaled a broader industry shift. This facility, expected to create 500 high-skilled jobs, exemplifies how advanced manufacturing technologies can make domestic production economically viable despite higher labor costs [5].

* Corresponding author: Oluwabusola Dorcas Olagunju

The stakes are extraordinarily high. The U.S. fashion industry, valued at approximately $368 billion in 2023, has historically been a significant economic driver [6]. However, over the past three decades, more than 90% of apparel manufacturing jobs have moved overseas, primarily to Asia [7]. This exodus has not only stripped America of manufacturing capabilities but has also created vulnerabilities in the supply chain that became glaringly apparent during recent global crises. The average distance traveled by a garment from production to consumer in the U.S. is approximately 7,500 miles, creating substantial environmental impacts and time delays [8].

Recent success stories highlight the potential of reshoring. American Giant, a clothing manufacturer based in San Francisco, has demonstrated that domestic production can be both profitable and sustainable. By investing in automated cutting technologies and establishing partnerships with cotton farmers in North Carolina, they have created a vertically integrated supply chain that reduces lead times from months to weeks [9]. Their success has inspired other companies, with over 100 American fashion brands initiating some form of reshoring program between 2021 and 2023 [10].

The reshoring renaissance is being fueled by multiple converging factors. Advanced manufacturing technologies, including 3D printing, automated cutting systems, and AI-driven production planning, are making domestic manufacturing more cost-competitive [11]. Consumer preferences are shifting, with 71% of Americans indicating a willingness to pay more for products made domestically, according to a 2023 McKinsey survey [12]. Environmental concerns are also driving change, as brands recognize that shorter supply chains can reduce their carbon footprint by up to 30% [13].

However, this transformation faces significant challenges. The American textile and apparel manufacturing workforce has declined by 55% since 1990, creating a critical skills gap [14]. According to the National Council of Textile Organizations, the industry needs to train approximately 50,000 new workers over the next five years to support reshoring initiatives [15]. Infrastructure investments required for modern manufacturing facilities are substantial, often reaching hundreds of millions of dollars per facility [16].

This paper explores the multifaceted aspects of America's fashion supply chain renaissance, examining the challenges, opportunities, and strategic considerations that will shape the industry's future. Through analysis of current initiatives, technological innovations, and economic implications, we will investigate how the American fashion industry can successfully navigate this transformation while building resilience, sustainability, and competitive advantage in the global market.

The journey toward reshoring fashion manufacturing represents not just a response to recent disruptions but a fundamental reimagining of how the American fashion industry can operate in the 21st century. As we delve deeper into this topic, we will examine how this renaissance might reshape not only supply chains but also the very fabric of American manufacturing capabilities and competitiveness in the global fashion landscape.

2. Historical Context & Need for Reshoring

2.1. Evolution of US Fashion Manufacturing (1950s-1990s)

The story of American fashion manufacturing is intrinsically tied to the nation's industrial might. In the 1950s and 1960s, the United States stood as a global powerhouse in textile and apparel production. Cities like New York's Garment District, Los Angeles's Fashion District, and numerous manufacturing hubs across North Carolina and South Carolina formed the backbone of America's fashion manufacturing infrastructure. During this golden era, approximately 95% of clothing worn by Americans was produced domestically, employing over 2.5 million workers at its peak in 1973 [17][18].

The 1950s witnessed the rise of American fashion icons like Levi Strauss & Co., which operated 63 factories across the United States. Cities like Reading, Pennsylvania, became synonymous with quality textile production, with the Berkshire Knitting Mills employing over 8,000 workers and producing 63 million pairs of stockings annually. This period marked the pinnacle of vertical integration in American fashion manufacturing, where companies controlled everything from raw material processing to final garment production [19][20]. Eventually, the 1970s and 1980s saw the beginning of structural changes. Technological advances in manufacturing, particularly automated cutting and sewing machines, led to significant productivity improvements. However, these decades also marked the initial challenges as cheaper imports began entering the market [21][22]. By 1985, domestic production had declined to 70% of American clothing consumption, signaling the beginning of a dramatic transformation [23][24].

2.2. The Offshoring Wave (1990s-2010s)

The 1990s marked a watershed moment in American fashion manufacturing, fundamentally transforming the industry's landscape through massive out posting to Asia and Latin America. This seismic shift was driven by the irresistible combination of lower production costs, emerging global trade agreements, and the pressure to remain competitive in an increasingly globalized market. No company better exemplifies this transformation than Levi Strauss & Co., whose journey from American manufacturing icon to global production pioneer tells the story of an entire industry in transition [24][25].

2.2.1. Case study: Levi's transformation and offshore movement

In 1981, Levi's stood as a testament to American manufacturing prowess, operating 63 manufacturing facilities across the United States. These facilities represented not just production capacity but also embodied the American dream for thousands of workers who built careers in the textile industry [26]. However, the economic realities of global competition began to reshape this traditional model. By the late 1990s, the company had begun a systematic evaluation of its manufacturing footprint, leading to a series of difficult decisions that would ultimately transform the company's operational structure [27].

The culmination of this transformation came in 2003 when Levi's closed its last domestic manufacturing plant in San Antonio, Texas. The closure, which resulted in the layoff of over 800 workers, represented more than just another factory shuttering its doors; it symbolized the end of an era in American manufacturing. This final closure was particularly poignant as San Antonio had been a major hub for Levi's production, with generations of families having worked in its facilities. The human impact was profound, affecting not just the workers but entire communities that had built their economic foundations around these manufacturing operations [28][29].

The financial implications of Levi's offshore transition were substantial and manifold. The company achieved a dramatic reduction in production costs, approximately 50%, through its shift to overseas manufacturing. This cost reduction translated into an increase in profit margins from 8% to 12%, demonstrating the powerful economic incentives driving the offshoring trend. However, these financial gains came at a significant social cost, with the loss of over 6,400 American manufacturing jobs across various communities. The company's manufacturing footprint evolved into a complex global supply chain spanning 40 countries, creating new challenges in coordination, quality control, and supply chain management [30].

The transformation of Levi's manufacturing strategy reflects a broader industry trend that reshaped not just the company but the entire American fashion manufacturing landscape. While the financial benefits were clear, this shift created vulnerabilities that would only become apparent decades later. The complex global supply chain, while efficient in times of stability, proved susceptible to disruptions from global events, trade tensions, and pandemic-related shutdowns. This realization has led many companies, including Levi's, to reconsider the balance between cost efficiency and supply chain resilience, contributing to current discussions about reshoring and the future of American fashion manufacturing [31][32].

2.2.2. Impact on American Manufacturing Towns

The ripple effects of fashion manufacturing's exodus from American soil reached far beyond corporate balance sheets, devastating small manufacturing towns that had built their economies around textile and apparel production. These communities, once thriving centers of American manufacturing prowess, experienced profound social and economic upheaval that would reshape their identity and future prospects for generations to come [33].

Burlington, North Carolina stands as a poignant example of this transformation. Once a textile manufacturing powerhouse, the city witnessed the disappearance of 8,000 textile jobs between 1994 and 2004, representing nearly a quarter of its workforce. The impact extended beyond mere employment statistics; it unraveled the very fabric of community life. Multi-generational textile families found their career paths abruptly terminated, while local businesses that had serviced these manufacturing operations – from equipment suppliers to lunch counters – saw their customer base evaporate. Burlington's story reflects the collapse of a century-old industrial ecosystem that had defined not just the local economy but the community's very identity [34][35].

Martinsville, Virginia's experience perhaps best exemplifies the devastating depth of manufacturing's decline. The city's unemployment rate soared to a staggering 19.3% in 2010 following the closure of several major textile mills. This wasn't merely a statistical spike; it represented a fundamental rupture in the community's economic foundation. The closures of DuPont and Sara Lee textile operations, which had once employed thousands, left behind empty industrial buildings

and a skilled workforce with few alternatives. The impact cascaded through the community, affecting everything from housing values to school enrollment, as families were forced to relocate in search of new opportunities [36][37].

Fall River, Massachusetts, once nicknamed the "Spindle City" for its dominant textile industry, experienced one of the most dramatic declines in manufacturing employment, with a 65% reduction between 1990 and 2010. This steep decline represented more than just job losses; it marked the end of a manufacturing heritage that dated back to the Industrial Revolution. The city's vast brick mills, which had once employed thousands and defined the skyline, stood as hollow monuments to a vanished industrial age. The transformation forced Fall River to grapple not only with unemployment but with questions of identity and purpose in a post-industrial economy [38][39].

The human toll in these communities extended far beyond economic indicators. Social services became strained as unemployment benefits expired, and health insurance coverage disappeared. Local tax bases eroded, affecting school funding and municipal services. Perhaps most significantly, these towns experienced a brain drain as younger generations, seeing little future in manufacturing, moved away in search of opportunities elsewhere. This demographic shift further complicated recovery efforts, leaving communities struggling to reinvent themselves in the wake of manufacturing's decline [40][41].

The collective experience of these manufacturing towns serves as a crucial lens through which to understand the true cost of offshoring in the American fashion industry. While companies achieved significant cost savings through global production networks, these local communities bore the brunt of this transformation. Their stories highlight the need for more nuanced approaches to industry transformation and raise important questions about the role of manufacturing in maintaining healthy, sustainable communities [38][40].

This historical context becomes particularly relevant as discussions of reshoring gain momentum. These same communities, with their industrial infrastructure and manufacturing heritage, could potentially play crucial roles in fashion's domestic manufacturing renaissance. However, the scars of past disruptions remain, requiring thoughtful approaches to rebuilding not just manufacturing capacity but also community trust and workforce confidence.

2.3. Key Events Triggering Reshoring Consideration

2.3.1. 2008 financial crisis impacts

The global financial crisis of 2008 served as a stark wake-up call for the American fashion industry, exposing critical vulnerabilities in the extended global supply chains that had become standard operating procedure. While previous decades had seen companies optimize their operations for cost efficiency through offshore production, the financial crisis revealed the fragility of these seemingly robust global networks. The economic turbulence of this period forced fashion brands and retailers to confront the hidden costs and risks inherent in their globalized supply chains, marking the first serious industry-wide consideration of reshoring as a strategic imperative [42].

One of the most immediate and visible impacts came from unprecedented volatility in oil prices, which soared to $147 per barrel before plummeting to $32 in the same year. This extreme volatility wreaked havoc on transportation costs, making it nearly impossible for fashion companies to accurately forecast their logistics expenses or maintain stable pricing strategies. Major retailers like Gap and Walmart found themselves grappling with shipping costs that could fluctuate by as much as 300% within a single quarter, directly impacting their ability to maintain consistent profit margins and pricing strategies. This volatility particularly affected fast-fashion retailers who had built their business models around rapid overseas production and frequent shipments [43][44].

Currency fluctuations emerged as another critical challenge during the crisis, introducing new layers of complexity to procurement costs and international transactions. Companies that had previously benefited from favorable exchange rates suddenly found themselves exposed to significant currency risks. For instance, American fashion brands sourcing from China faced a 20% increase in effective production costs as the yuan appreciated against the dollar, while simultaneously dealing with weakened consumer demand in their home market. This double impact forced companies to reevaluate their geographical diversification strategies and consider the benefits of domestic production as a hedge against currency volatility [45][46].

Perhaps most challenging was the unprecedented uncertainty in consumer demand, which created severe inventory management challenges across the industry. The traditional model of placing large orders months in advance with overseas manufacturers became increasingly risky as consumer spending patterns became highly unpredictable. Major retailers found themselves caught between the need to maintain adequate stock levels and the risk of being left with

unsold inventory. Department stores like Macy's and JCPenney reported inventory write-downs exceeding $1 billion as consumer spending contracted, highlighting the limitations of extended supply chains in responding to rapidly changing market conditions [47][48].

These challenges collectively sparked the first serious industry-wide discussions about reshoring as a risk management strategy. Companies began to recognize that the true cost of offshore production needed to include these newly visible risks and vulnerabilities. Industry leaders started exploring hybrid supply chain models that could balance the cost benefits of global sourcing with the flexibility and reliability of domestic production. This period marked a significant shift in strategic thinking, with companies like American Apparel and Brooks Brothers, who had maintained some domestic production capacity, suddenly finding themselves better positioned to navigate the crisis [49][50].

2.3.2. 2018-2020 trade tensions with China

The U.S.-China trade war of 2018-2020 marked another pivotal moment in American fashion manufacturing, fundamentally challenging decades-old assumptions about global supply chains and forcing a wholesale reconsideration of production strategies [51]. What began as diplomatic tensions quickly escalated into concrete economic measures, with the implementation of a 25% tariff on Chinese textiles and apparel representing a seismic shift in the cost structure of American fashion brands. This unprecedented trade action sent shockwaves through an industry that had grown deeply dependent on Chinese manufacturing capabilities, forcing companies to confront both immediate cost pressures and long-term strategic implications [52].

The financial impact of these tariffs was immediate and severe, with U.S. fashion brands facing increased costs of approximately $2.5 billion. Major retailers like Gap, Nike, and VF Corporation saw their procurement costs rise dramatically, with many companies reporting profit margin contractions of 3-5 percentage points [53]. These increased costs created a complex dilemma for fashion brands: either absorb the additional expenses and accept lower profits, pass the costs on to increasingly price-sensitive consumers, or undertake the challenging process of restructuring their supply chains. The American Apparel & Footwear Association reported that 77% of their members experienced significant disruption to their business models, with many forced to accelerate price increases or reduce inventory levels to maintain profitability [54][55].

This trade tension catalyzed an unprecedented acceleration in supply chain diversification strategies across the industry. Companies that had previously concentrated their manufacturing in China began aggressively exploring alternative production locations, leading to what industry analysts termed the "China+1" strategy [56]. Vietnam, Bangladesh, and India emerged as primary beneficiaries of this shift, but more significantly, the disruption prompted serious consideration of reshoring options. Companies began conducting detailed cost-benefit analyses that went beyond simple labor cost comparisons to include factors such as transportation time, inventory carrying costs, and the value of proximity to market [57][58].

Brooks Brothers' decision to invest $20 million in a South Carolina manufacturing facility became a bellwether case study for the industry's evolving approach to production strategy. This investment, which created 500 new jobs in the region, represented more than just a response to tariffs; it signaled a fundamental shift in how American fashion brands viewed domestic manufacturing capabilities. The facility incorporated advanced automation and digital manufacturing technologies, demonstrating how modern production methods could make American manufacturing economically viable despite higher labor costs. Brooks Brothers reported that this domestic facility reduced lead times by 70% and allowed for greater flexibility in responding to changing consumer demands, providing a compelling model for other brands considering similar moves [59].

The aftermath of these trade tensions continues to reshape the American fashion manufacturing landscape. Industry surveys indicate that 45% of U.S. fashion brands have either implemented or are actively planning domestic manufacturing initiatives, marking a significant shift from the pre-trade war era when such considerations were rare. This period has effectively forced the industry to confront the true costs and risks of over-dependence on any single manufacturing region, leading to more nuanced and resilient supply chain strategies that increasingly include domestic production capabilities [60].

2.3.3. COVID-19 pandemic disruptions

The COVID-19 pandemic delivered an unprecedented shock to global fashion supply chains, creating disruptions that surpassed even the most pessimistic contingency plans of major fashion brands. The scale of disruption was staggering, with 93% of fashion brands reporting significant supply chain disruptions that fundamentally challenged their operational models [61]. What began as a health crisis in China rapidly escalated into a global supply chain crisis,

transforming traditional three-week delivery windows into nerve-wracking twelve-week uncertainties. This dramatic slowdown forced brands to confront the vulnerabilities inherent in their globalized supply networks, with 71% of fashion executives seriously considering reshoring initiatives for the first time in their careers [62].

The severity of these disruptions manifested in ways that challenged even the most robust supply chain networks. Manufacturing facilities across Asia faced rolling shutdowns as countries implemented strict lockdown measures, creating a domino effect of production delays and inventory shortages [63]. The impact was particularly severe in Vietnam and Bangladesh, where social distancing requirements reduced factory capacity by up to 75%. Fashion brands found themselves unable to meet seasonal demand, leading to missed sales opportunities and, paradoxically, excess inventory in some categories as consumer preferences shifted dramatically during lockdowns [64].

Nike's experience during the pandemic serves as a compelling case study of how even the most sophisticated global supply chains could be rendered vulnerable. The athletic wear giant saw its Q3 2020 sales plummet by an unprecedented 38% as Asian factory closures created massive supply chain disruptions. The company was forced to write off $700 million in inventory as products either arrived too late for their intended seasons or became irrelevant due to rapidly shifting consumer preferences during lockdown periods. The situation reached a critical point when 60% of Nike's Vietnamese factories closed during the peak of the pandemic, creating a manufacturing vacuum that the company's global network struggled to fill [65][66].

The ripple effects of these closures were particularly evident during the crucial holiday season, with supply chain delays of 8-12 weeks severely impacting Nike's ability to meet consumer demand. Products that traditionally took 40 days to move from factory to store were now taking up to 80 days, forcing the company to fundamentally rethink its supply chain strategy. This crisis exposed the limitations of Nike's heavily concentrated manufacturing footprint in Southeast Asia, which had previously been seen as a cost-efficient model but now revealed itself as a significant operational risk [67].

In response to these unprecedented challenges, Nike embarked on an ambitious transformation of its supply chain strategy. The company announced a $70 million investment in an advanced manufacturing facility in North Carolina, representing a significant commitment to domestic production capabilities. This facility, equipped with state-of-the-art automation and digital manufacturing technologies, was designed to produce high-margin products with significantly reduced lead times. The investment signaled Nike's recognition that the future of fashion manufacturing would require a more balanced approach between cost efficiency and supply chain resilience [5].

Nike's transformation extended beyond domestic investments to include a comprehensive regional supply chain strategy. The company began developing near-shore manufacturing capabilities in Mexico, allowing for faster response times to North American market demands while maintaining reasonable production costs. This varied approach included significant investments in digital supply chain technologies, enabling better visibility and control over the entire production process. The company's implementation of predictive analytics and artificial intelligence for demand forecasting represented a fundamental shift toward a more agile and responsive supply chain model [68].

3. Current State Analysis

3.1. Market size and economic impact

The current state of American fashion manufacturing presents a complex picture of an industry in transition, marked by both persistent challenges and emerging opportunities. As of 2023, the U.S. fashion industry represents a substantial $368 billion market, making it one of the largest consumer goods sectors in the American economy. However, the stark reality of domestic versus offshore production ratios reveals the magnitude of the manufacturing exodus that has occurred over recent decades and the significant opportunity for reshoring initiatives [69][70].

Current domestic production accounts for merely 3% of apparel sold in the United States, a dramatic decline from the 95% share held in the 1960s. This shift is starkly illustrated in the trade statistics, with apparel imports reaching $82.5 billion in 2022, while domestic production valued at just $9.5 billion. However, recent trends show early signs of reversal, with domestic production growing by 2.8% annually starting in 2020, marking the first sustained growth in decades. Companies like American Giant, which manufactures 100% of its products domestically, have demonstrated the viability of Made-in-USA fashion, albeit at a premium price point that commands 20-30% higher retail prices compared to imported alternatives [69].

Employment statistics in the domestic fashion manufacturing sector tell a story of both decline and transformation. The workforce has shrunk from its peak of 2.5 million in 1973 to approximately 116,000 workers in 2023. However, the nature of these jobs has evolved significantly. Today's fashion manufacturing jobs command higher wages, averaging $21.82 per hour compared to $14.50 in 2000 (adjusted for inflation), reflecting the increased technical skills required in modern manufacturing facilities. The sector has also seen a shift in workforce demographics, with a growing proportion of workers holding technical degrees or specialized certifications in areas like digital pattern making and automated system operation [70][71].

The economic contribution of domestic fashion manufacturing extends far beyond direct employment. The industry generates significant multiplier effects throughout the supply chain, creating an estimated 1.4 million indirect jobs in related sectors such as textile production, logistics, and retail. The total economic impact of domestic fashion manufacturing, including direct, indirect, and induced effects, amounts to approximately $100 billion annually. This includes $22.9 billion in direct manufacturing output, $35.6 billion in supplier chain impacts and $41.5 billion in induced economic activity through worker spending [72].

Moreover, regional economic impacts are particularly noteworthy, with certain areas experiencing concentrated benefits from renewed domestic manufacturing activity. Los Angeles County, for example, remains the largest center of apparel manufacturing in the United States, generating $5.4 billion in annual economic activity and employing 26,000 workers directly. The Carolinas' textile belt has seen a resurgence, with new investments in advanced manufacturing facilities creating high-paying jobs and stimulating local economies. Notable examples are Unifi's $130 million investment in recycled fiber production in North Carolina, Gildan's $350 million yarn-spinning facility expansion creating 500 new jobs and Under Armour's $100 million manufacturing innovation center in Baltimore, etc [70][71].

However, the economic impact extends to innovation and research development as well. Domestic fashion manufacturers invested approximately $1.2 billion in R&D during 2022, focusing on areas such as advanced manufacturing technologies, sustainable material development, supply chain optimization systems and digital design and production integration. This investment in innovation has created additional high-skilled job opportunities and strengthened the industry's competitive position globally. Patents filed by domestic fashion manufacturers have increased by 45% since 2019, particularly in areas of automated production systems and sustainable manufacturing processes [73].

3.2. Existing Infrastructure Assessment and Manufacturing Landscape

The existing infrastructure of American fashion manufacturing tells a story of transformation, adaptation, and resilience in an industry poised for renaissance. As of 2023, approximately 7,000 apparel manufacturing facilities operate across the United States, representing a mere 15% of the industry's peak capacity from the 1970s. These facilities, scattered across traditional manufacturing hubs, form a complex tapestry of both legacy operations and cutting-edge manufacturing centers, each contributing to America's evolving fashion manufacturing capabilities [74][75].

The geographical distribution of these facilities reflects both historical patterns and emerging trends. The Los Angeles Basin remains the dominant force, accounting for 42% of domestic production capacity, while the historic New York City Garment District maintains its significance with 15% of production. The Carolinas' textile belt, leveraging its deep manufacturing heritage, contributes 25% of domestic capacity, while the New England manufacturing corridor and emerging Southeast hubs round out the remaining production landscape. This distribution highlights both the industry's traditional strongholds and its potential for geographic expansion [76][77].

Manufacturing capabilities across these facilities present a study in contrasts. Modern facilities showcase advanced automation and digital integration, with 65% of operations now utilizing advanced cutting systems with 3D modeling integration. Digital pattern-making and grading systems have achieved widespread adoption, present in 82% of facilities, while automated sewing capabilities and smart inventory management systems continue to gain traction. However, the integration of sustainable production technologies remains a frontier for growth, with only 31% of facilities currently equipped with these capabilities [78].

The workforce challenge emerges as perhaps the most critical factor in the industry's renaissance. The current workforce of 116,000 faces significant demographic challenges, with an average age of 53 and limited new entrants to the field. The industry struggles to attract young talent, with only 2,500 new workers entering annually, creating a pressing need for workforce development initiatives. This demographic challenge is compounded by a growing skills gap in modern manufacturing technologies and a geographic mismatch between worker availability and job opportunities [79].

3.2.1. American Giant: A Model of Vertical Integration

American Giant's success story stands as an evidence to the viability of domestic manufacturing when coupled with innovative business models and strategic vertical integration. Founded in 2012, the company has systematically built a Made-in-USA supply chain that seamlessly connects every step of the production process, from cotton fields in North Carolina to final product delivery. This comprehensive approach has yielded remarkable results, with revenue growing from $30 million in 2018 to $120 million in 2023, while maintaining profit margins significantly above industry averages [80].

The company's vertical integration strategy extends beyond mere supply chain control. Through direct partnerships with cotton farmers, ownership of yarn spinning facilities, and strategic relationships with textile mills, American Giant has created a responsive and efficient production ecosystem. This integrated approach has resulted in a 30% reduction in lead times and 85% lower inventory carrying costs compared to competitors, demonstrating the potential efficiency of domestic manufacturing when properly structured [81][82][83].

3.2.2. New Balance: Pioneering Domestic Athletic Footwear Production

New Balance's commitment to domestic manufacturing represents a unique case study in maintaining significant U.S. production in an industry dominated by offshore manufacturing. As the only major athletic footwear brand with substantial domestic operations, New Balance produces 25% of its U.S. sales within American borders, operating five New England facilities that employ 1,300 workers. This commitment to domestic production is supported by a $500 million investment in automated manufacturing systems and a forward-thinking approach to workforce development [84].

The company's hybrid production model, combining advanced automation with skilled labor, has created a template for modern American manufacturing [85]. Workers earning an average of $24.50 per hour operate alongside state-of-the-art automation systems, producing 4 million pairs of shoes annually. The economic impact extends beyond direct employment, generating $375 million in annual economic activity throughout New England and creating 2,000 indirect jobs in the supply chain [84][85].

Looking ahead, New Balance's $100 million planned expansion in Massachusetts and ongoing development of an advanced manufacturing center signal a confident outlook for domestic production. The company's success demonstrates that with proper investment in technology, workforce development, and supply chain integration, domestic manufacturing can compete effectively in the global market while supporting local economies and maintaining high labor standards [86][87][88][89].

4. Technological Enablers & Innovation in American Fashion Manufacturing

The renaissance of American fashion manufacturing is fundamentally driven by technological innovation, creating new possibilities for domestic production that were unimaginable a decade ago. This technological revolution is reshaping every aspect of the industry, from the factory floor to the supply chain, and even the materials themselves. The convergence of advanced manufacturing technologies, artificial intelligence, and sustainable innovation is creating a new paradigm for American fashion production that combines efficiency, sustainability, and economic viability [90].

4.1. Advanced Manufacturing Technologies

The modern American fashion factory bears little resemblance to its historical counterparts. Advanced manufacturing technologies have transformed traditional production processes into highly automated, precise, and efficient operations. At the forefront of this transformation are automated cutting systems that utilize computer vision and AI-driven optimization to reduce fabric waste by up to 40% compared to traditional methods. Industry leaders like Speed Factory in Atlanta have demonstrated how these systems can reduce cutting time by 70% while improving accuracy to near-perfect levels [91].

Also, the integration of 3D printing technology has revolutionized prototyping and small-batch production. Companies like Under Armour are utilizing advanced 3D printing systems to produce customized shoe soles and performance wear components, reducing prototype development time from weeks to hours. This technology has proven particularly valuable for complex geometric designs and personalized products, with production costs decreasing by 60% for small batch runs compared to traditional manufacturing methods [92].

Furthermore, the digital twin technology also represents perhaps the most transformative advancement in manufacturing operations. These virtual replicas of physical manufacturing processes enable real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance, and process optimization. Fashion manufacturers implementing digital twin systems report an average 35% reduction in production downtime and a 25% improvement in overall equipment effectiveness. For instance, Ralph Lauren's new manufacturing facility in North Carolina utilizes digital twin technology to simulate and optimize production processes before physical implementation, resulting in a 40% reduction in setup time for new product lines [93].

4.2. Supply Chain Technologies

The integration of AI and machine learning has fundamentally transformed supply chain management in the fashion industry. Predictive analytics algorithms now forecast demand with unprecedented accuracy, reducing inventory holding costs by an average of 30%. Leading manufacturers are utilizing machine learning systems that analyze historical data, social media trends, and weather patterns to predict fashion trends and optimize production schedules. This technology has proven particularly valuable in reducing overproduction, with early adopters reporting a 25% reduction in excess inventory [94].

Blockchain implementation has emerged as a crucial tool for supply chain transparency and authentication. Major brands have begun implementing blockchain solutions to track products from raw material sourcing through production and distribution. This technology not only ensures authenticity but also provides unprecedented visibility into supply chain operations. For example, VF Corporation's implementation of blockchain technology has reduced supply chain documentation processing time by 85% while providing real-time visibility into material sourcing and production status [95].

A perfect case study, Walmart's comprehensive digitization of its fashion supply chain offers a compelling example of technology's transformative potential. The retail giant's $2.7 billion investment in supply chain technology has revolutionized its approach to fashion retail and manufacturing. The implementation of an AI-driven demand forecasting system has reduced inventory carrying costs by $1.2 billion annually while improving in-stock rates by 8%. Also, the company's blockchain initiative, developed in partnership with IBM, has reduced the time needed to trace food products from 7 days to 2.2 seconds. This same technology, when applied to fashion supply chains, has enabled real-time tracking of raw materials and finished goods, significantly reducing counterfeit products and improving supply chain transparency. The system has been particularly effective in tracking sustainable and ethical sourcing practices, a growing concern for conscious consumers [96].

4.3. Innovation in Materials

The revolution in fashion manufacturing extends to the very materials being used in production. Sustainable fabric development has moved from the fringes to the mainstream of fashion manufacturing, driven by both environmental concerns and economic opportunities. Advanced recycling technologies now enable the production of high-quality fabrics from post-consumer waste, with some processes achieving up to 90% reduction in water usage compared to virgin material production. In addition, new manufacturing processes have enabled the development of materials with enhanced performance characteristics while maintaining sustainability credentials. For instance, the development of bio-based synthetics has reduced reliance on petroleum-based products while offering improved durability and performance. Companies like Modern Meadow are pioneering the development of lab-grown leather alternatives that offer consistent quality while eliminating traditional environmental impacts [97][98].

Considering the unique case of Patagonia's commitment to material innovation provides a blueprint for sustainable manufacturing practices. The company's investment in recycled material technology has resulted in 68% of their line now being made with recycled materials. Their revolutionary NetPlus® material, made from recycled fishing nets, has not only created a new revenue stream from waste material but has also removed over 149 tons of fishing nets from the ocean. The company's development of the first recyclable puffy jacket demonstrates the potential of circular design in fashion manufacturing. The jacket, designed for complete disassembly and recycling, represents a new paradigm in sustainable product development. This innovation has reduced the product's end-of-life environmental impact by 82% while maintaining performance characteristics that match or exceed traditional materials [99][100][101].

5. Economic & Business Implications of Fashion Manufacturing Reshoring

The reshoring of American fashion manufacturing presents a complex economic equation that challenges traditional cost-benefit analyses. While the basic math of labor costs remains challenging, with U.S. garment workers earning $15-25 per hour compared to $2-4 in Southeast Asia, the total cost equation has evolved significantly [102]. Advanced

manufacturing technologies, changing consumer preferences, and supply chain vulnerabilities have created new imperatives that are reshaping the industry's economic landscape [103][104].

The technology investment factor has emerged as a crucial equalizer in the reshoring equation. Modern manufacturing facilities require substantial initial investments, typically ranging from $15-50 million, but these investments yield transformative results. Companies implementing advanced automation systems report 40-60% reductions in direct labor costs while simultaneously achieving 30-50% productivity improvements. These efficiency gains, coupled with improved material utilization and quality control, have significantly narrowed the cost gap between domestic and offshore production [105].

Moreover, transportation and logistics considerations have become increasingly pivotal in reshoring decisions. Recent global disruptions have exposed the true costs of extended supply chains, with ocean freight rates experiencing volatility of up to 600%. Domestic manufacturing offers not just 30-40% reductions in transportation costs but, more crucially, cuts delivery times from months to days. This proximity advantage translates into 20% lower inventory carrying costs and virtual elimination of safety stock requirements, fundamentally altering the total cost of ownership calculation [106][107].

The emergence of on-demand manufacturing represents perhaps the most significant business model innovation in reshored production. This approach, pioneered by companies like Blank Label and the Ministry of Supply, has demonstrated remarkable advantages: 70-80% reductions in inventory carrying costs, 25-35% improvements in product margins, and 40% reductions in customer returns. These benefits stem from the ability to produce goods only after receiving customer orders, effectively eliminating the risks associated with traditional seasonal inventory builds [108].

Small batch production capabilities have become another crucial advantage of domestic manufacturing. Modern U.S. facilities can profitably produce batches as small as 50 units, compared to the 1,000 - 5,000 (unit) minimums typically required by offshore manufacturers. This flexibility enables rapid market testing, seasonal collection adaptation, and customization options that were previously impossible with traditional manufacturing models [109].

Under Armour's Lighthouse facility in Baltimore stands as a compelling example of modern American manufacturing economics. Their $100 million investment, while substantial, has yielded transformative results: 50% reduction in product development time, 35% improvement in gross margins, and 70% reduction in sample development costs. The facility demonstrates how significant upfront investment in technology and workforce development can create sustainable competitive advantages through integration of design and manufacturing processes, rapid prototyping capabilities, and flexible production systems [110].

The economics of reshoring extend beyond direct manufacturing costs to encompass broader strategic benefits. Companies report improved market responsiveness, enhanced quality control, and stronger intellectual property protection. Additionally, the ability to rapidly iterate designs and quickly respond to market trends has proven particularly valuable in today's fast-moving fashion landscape. These strategic advantages, while harder to quantify, often prove decisive in reshoring decisions [111].

6. Sustainability & Environmental Impact

The environmental implications of reshoring American fashion manufacturing extend far beyond simple carbon footprint calculations, encompassing a comprehensive reimagining of how the industry impacts our planet. The shift toward domestic production presents unprecedented opportunities to address sustainability challenges while creating more resilient and environmentally responsible supply chains [112].

6.1. Carbon Footprint Analysis and Transportation Impact

The transportation footprint of the fashion industry has reached staggering proportions under globalized production models. A typical garment manufactured in Asia travels approximately 7,500 miles before reaching an American consumer, generating significant carbon emissions in the process. This extended supply chain creates an environmental burden that domestic manufacturing can substantially reduce. Recent studies indicate that reshoring production can decrease transportation-related carbon emissions by 45-60% for the average garment [113].

Modern American manufacturing facilities are demonstrating remarkable advances in energy efficiency. New facilities incorporate state-of-the-art systems that reduce energy consumption by up to 40% compared to traditional

manufacturing operations. For instance, Nike's new North Carolina facility utilizes renewable energy sources for 75% of its power needs and has implemented smart building systems that optimize energy usage based on production demands. These improvements extend beyond mere cost savings to create meaningful environmental benefits, with domestic facilities reporting average carbon footprint reductions of 35% per unit produced. The implementation of advanced manufacturing technologies has further enhanced energy efficiency gains. Automated cutting systems reduce material waste while consuming 30% less energy than traditional methods. Digital printing technologies, increasingly common in domestic facilities, use up to 95% less water and 75% less energy compared to conventional printing processes. These improvements demonstrate how technological innovation can simultaneously address both environmental and economic objectives [114].

6.2. Waste Reduction and Circular Economy Initiatives

Local production enables more effective implementation of waste reduction strategies and circular economy initiatives. Proximity to market facilitates the development of closed-loop recycling systems, where post-consumer waste can be efficiently collected, processed, and reintegrated into the manufacturing stream. Domestic manufacturers report achieving 60-80% waste reduction through these integrated recycling programs. Moreover, the emergence of local recycling programs specifically designed for the fashion industry has created new opportunities for material recovery. Companies operating domestic facilities can more easily implement take-back programs and establish partnerships with local recycling operators. These programs have demonstrated impressive results, with some facilities achieving near-zero waste to landfill through comprehensive recycling and upcycling initiatives [115][116].

Also, circular economy initiatives have gained traction in domestic manufacturing operations. The ability to closely control the entire production process enables manufacturers to implement design-for-recycling principles from the outset. This approach includes using mono-materials, designing for disassembly, and incorporating recycled content into new products. Companies report that these initiatives can reduce virgin material usage by up to 40% while creating new revenue streams from recovered materials [115].

A fit as a perfect case study is Eileen Fisher's pioneering recycling program. Eileen Fisher's Renew program stands as a landmark achievement in sustainable fashion manufacturing, demonstrating the powerful potential of domestic production in creating truly circular fashion systems. Launched in 2009, the program has processed over 1.4 **million** returned garments, achieving remarkable success through its integrated approach to sustainability. The program's effectiveness stems from its deep integration with domestic manufacturing capabilities, where U.S.-based facilities maintain tight control over material composition and design specifications. This domestic infrastructure enables precise sorting of collected garments through retail locations and mail-in options, directing items to resale, remanufacturing, or fiber recycling streams with a 90% recovery rate. The program has generated impressive results, including $3 million in annual revenue from resold items, a 65% reduction in virgin material usage, and the creation of 40 new jobs in recycling operations [117][118].

The environmental impact of the Renew program extends far beyond its immediate business success, demonstrating the transformative potential of domestic circular fashion systems. Through close collaboration between designers and recycling specialists, the program has prevented 2,300 metric tons of textile waste from entering landfills and reduced carbon emissions by 12,000 metric tons through material recovery efforts. The program's water conservation impact is equally significant, saving over 500 million gallons through garment reuse initiatives. Perhaps most importantly, the program's success has established new standards for circular fashion systems, proving that domestic manufacturing can effectively combine environmental responsibility with business success. This integration of design and recycling expertise has fostered continuous innovation in both product development and recycling processes, creating a model for sustainable fashion production that other companies are now seeking to emulate [118].

7. Challenges & Solutions in American Fashion Manufacturing Reshoring

The revival of American fashion manufacturing faces several significant challenges that require innovative solutions and strategic investments. While the opportunity for reshoring presents compelling benefits, addressing these challenges is crucial for building a sustainable and competitive domestic manufacturing base.

7.1. Workforce Development and Skills Gap

The most pressing challenge facing the American fashion manufacturing renaissance is the critical skills gap in the workforce. With an aging skilled workforce averaging 53 years old and only 2,500 new workers entering the industry annually, the sector faces a severe talent shortage. Traditional sewing and pattern-making skills must now be complemented by proficiency in digital technologies, automated systems operation, and advanced manufacturing

processes. Industry surveys indicate that 65% of manufacturers struggle to fill positions requiring this combination of traditional craft skills and modern technical expertise [119].

To address this challenge, innovative workforce development initiatives have emerged across the country. The Fashion Institute of Technology's Manufacturing Innovation Hub has pioneered a hybrid training model that combines traditional craftsmanship with advanced technology skills. This program has achieved an 85% placement rate for graduates, with starting salaries 30% higher than traditional entry-level positions. Similar programs at community colleges in manufacturing hubs like Los Angeles and North Carolina have created accelerated training pathways that can prepare workers for modern manufacturing roles in 6-12 months [120].

Educational partnerships have proven particularly effective in developing the next generation of manufacturing talent. One notable example is the collaboration between Seoul National University (SNU) and Kopenhagen Fur. This partnership was established to enhance fur design education through a series of workshops and fashion shows held in both South Korea and Denmark. Over three years, students participated in hands-on workshops where they learned specialized skills in fur design, working directly with high-quality materials provided by Kopenhagen Fur. This collaboration not only aimed to popularize the fur industry but also to develop creative and innovative fur designs. The program successfully provided students with practical experience and expanded their design capabilities, preparing them for careers in the global fashion industry. Moreover, these programs often include paid apprenticeships, providing students with hands-on experience while earning credentials. The success rate of these partnerships is impressive, with 75% of participants securing full-time positions and showing 90% retention rates after two years [121].

7.2. Infrastructure Requirements and Modernization

The infrastructure challenge extends beyond physical facilities to encompass the entire technological ecosystem required for modern manufacturing. Existing facilities require significant modernization to remain competitive, with the average cost of upgrading a traditional factory to modern standards ranging from $15-30 million. This modernization must address not only basic automation but also the integration of advanced technologies like artificial intelligence, IoT sensors, and digital twin capabilities [122].

Technology integration presents its own set of challenges, particularly in facilities transitioning from traditional to modern manufacturing methods. Companies report that successful technology integration requires a phased approach, typically spanning 18-24 months and costing $2,000-5,000 per worker in training and adaptation time. However, the return on investment is compelling, with modernized facilities reporting productivity improvements of 40-60% and quality defect reductions of up to 80% [122].

The solution to infrastructure challenges often lies in public-private partnerships. Several states have established manufacturing modernization funds that provide matching grants for facility upgrades. These programs have proven particularly effective when combined with tax incentives for job creation and workforce development. For example, North Carolina's textile modernization initiative has facilitated over $500 million in private investment by providing $100 million in matching funds for facility upgrades [122].

7.3. Cost Competitiveness and Automation Balance

Achieving cost competitiveness while maintaining quality and workforce development remains a delicate balance. The labor cost differential between the U.S. and traditional manufacturing countries continues to be significant, with fully-loaded labor costs in the U.S. averaging $18-25 per hour compared to $2-4 in Southeast Asia. However, innovative approaches to automation and production efficiency are helping to bridge this gap [123].

Successful strategies for achieving price parity focus on strategic automation combined with workforce optimization. Companies like American Giant have demonstrated that by automating 60-70% of production processes while maintaining skilled human involvement in critical quality-control points, they can achieve total costs within 15-20% of offshore production. This "smart automation" approach preserves quality while significantly reducing labor costs [123][124].

The balance between automation and human skills requires careful consideration. Over-automation can lead to reduced flexibility and higher maintenance costs, while insufficient automation leaves manufacturers unable to compete on price. The most successful companies have found that automating 50-70% of processes while investing in highly skilled workers for complex tasks provides the optimal balance. This approach typically results in production costs that are 15-25% higher than offshore manufacturing but is offset by reduced transportation costs, shorter lead times, and improved market responsiveness [125].

8. Future Outlook & Recommendations for American Fashion Manufacturing

The future of American fashion manufacturing stands at a transformative juncture, with emerging technologies, changing consumer preferences, and evolving global dynamics creating unprecedented opportunities for domestic production. Analysis of current trends and market indicators suggests a significant growth trajectory for U.S.-based manufacturing over the next decade.

8.1. Industry Projections and Growth Potential

Market projections indicate substantial growth potential for domestic fashion manufacturing, with the sector expected to expand at a compound annual growth rate of 7.5% through 2030. This growth is driven by several converging factors: increasing consumer preference for domestically produced goods, rising costs in traditional manufacturing countries, and the growing importance of supply chain resilience. Industry analysts project that domestic production could capture 15-20% of U.S. fashion manufacturing by 2030, representing a market value of approximately $100 billion [69][126].

The market opportunities are particularly promising in several key segments. Premium and luxury markets show the strongest potential for domestic manufacturing growth, with projected annual growth rates of 12-15%. Technical apparel and customized products represent another high-potential segment, expected to grow at 18-20% annually. These segments benefit particularly from domestic manufacturing's advantages in quality control, rapid prototyping, and small-batch production capabilities [69].

8.2. Policy Recommendations and Government Support

The realization of this growth potential requires coordinated policy support and government intervention. Critical policy recommendations include:

- Expansion of existing programs to provide 25-30% tax credits for investments in modern manufacturing equipment and facility upgrades.
- Creation of dedicated funding streams for fashion manufacturing training programs, with a target of training 25,000 new workers annually through 2030.
- Establishment of public-private research partnerships focused on advancing manufacturing technologies, with recommended annual funding of $500 million.
- Development of specialized loan programs and technical assistance for small and medium-sized manufacturers, particularly in historically significant manufacturing regions.

8.3. Industry Collaboration Frameworks

Success in revitalizing domestic manufacturing requires robust industry collaboration. The establishment of regional manufacturing clusters, modeled after successful technology hubs, can create ecosystems that support innovation and growth. These clusters should integrate manufacturers, suppliers, educational institutions, and research facilities to create self-reinforcing centers of excellence.

8.4. Strategic Roadmap for Industry Revival

8.4.1. Short-term actions (1-3 years)

- Implementation of rapid workforce development programs targeting immediate skills gaps
- Modernization of existing manufacturing facilities with current-generation automation
- Development of regional supply chain networks to support domestic production
- Establishment of industry-wide sustainability standards and certification programs

8.4.2. Long-term initiatives (3-7 years)

- Creation of advanced manufacturing research centers in key regional hubs
- Development of fully integrated digital supply chain networks
- Implementation of comprehensive circular economy systems
- Establishment of fashion technology innovation centers

8.5. Case Study: Los Angeles Apparel District Revival

The transformation of the Los Angeles Apparel District stands as a compelling testament to the potential for domestic manufacturing revival in the American fashion industry. Once facing decline, the district has emerged as a showcase for modern American fashion manufacturing, successfully combining traditional expertise with cutting-edge technology. This remarkable turnaround began with a carefully orchestrated collaboration between local government, industry leaders, and educational institutions, demonstrating the power of coordinated community action in revitalizing manufacturing centers [126][127].

The district's revival was built on four fundamental pillars of transformation. A substantial public-private investment of $200 million provided the foundation for facility modernization and technology upgrades, enabling manufacturers to compete effectively in the modern market. This infrastructure investment was complemented by robust workforce development initiatives, with strategic partnerships between manufacturers and local community colleges creating a reliable pipeline of skilled workers. The program now successfully trains over 1,000 individuals annually, ensuring a steady supply of qualified personnel for the growing industry [127].

Technology integration played a crucial role in the district's transformation. The implementation of advanced manufacturing systems across 60% of district facilities has resulted in a remarkable 35% reduction in production costs, significantly improving competitiveness. Equally important has been the district's commitment to sustainability, with the development of a comprehensive recycling program that has achieved a 75% reduction in waste and a 40% decrease in water usage, setting new standards for urban manufacturing sustainability [127].

The results of these combined initiatives have been nothing short of remarkable. Employment in the district surged from 12,000 to 20,000 workers, while annual production value climbed from $5 billion to $8.5 billion. Perhaps most significantly, average wages have risen by 45%, creating sustainable, well-paying jobs that support the local community. The district now serves as a model for sustainable urban manufacturing, demonstrating how traditional manufacturing centers can be successfully reinvented for the modern era [127].

Looking ahead, the continued success of American fashion manufacturing will depend on several critical factors. Technology leadership must be maintained through continuous innovation and investment in advanced manufacturing systems. Workforce excellence must remain a priority, supported by comprehensive training programs and competitive compensation. A strong focus on sustainability and circular economy initiatives will be essential, as will the ability to leverage proximity to market for rapid response to changing consumer preferences. The future of American fashion manufacturing appears promising, but its success will require sustained commitment from all stakeholders - government, industry, educational institutions, and consumers. With proper support and strategic implementation, domestic manufacturing can indeed reclaim its position as a cornerstone of the American fashion industry [127].

9. Conclusion

The revival of American fashion manufacturing marks a critical shift, driven by technological advances, changing consumer demands, and heightened awareness of supply chain vulnerabilities. Despite notable challenges such as the need for workforce development, infrastructure upgrades, and cost management, the potential for successful reshoring is growing. Companies like American Giant, New Balance, and Nike, along with hubs like Los Angeles, demonstrate that domestic production can be economically viable and strategically beneficial. Moving forward, success will hinge on integrating automation, sustainable practices, and skilled labor, supported by government and industry collaboration and investment. Although reshoring is complex, the rewards; greater supply chain resilience, environmental benefits, and revitalized local economies, make it a compelling goal for the American fashion industry.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] McDonald S. The coronavirus is exposing critical vulnerabilities in the fashion supply chain. Footwear News. 2020 Feb 21; Available from: https://footwearnews.com/business/business-news/coronavirus-supply-chain-fashion-industry-1202928018/

[2] Baum C, Brown P, Gerstell E, Peng A. Perspectives for North America's fashion industry in a time of crisis [Internet]. McKinsey & Company. 2020. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/perspectives-for-north-americas-fashion-industry-in-a-time-of-crisis

[3] Bloomberg. Walmart, Gap and others amass $45 billion in extra stuff to sell. SupplyChainBrain. 2022 Jun 1; Available from: https://www.supplychainbrain.com/articles/35120-walmart-gap-and-others-amass-45-billion-in-extra-stuff-to-sell

[4] Balchandani A, Barrelet D, Berg A, D'Auria G, Rölkens F, Starzynska E. The State of Fashion 2024: Finding pockets of growth as uncertainty reigns [Internet]. McKinsey & Company. 2023 Nov. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/state-of-fashion-2024

[5] McDonnell T. NIKE PAYS NEARLY $70 MILLION TO BUY GOODYEAR INDUSTRIAL FACILITY DEVELOPED BY LPC - BREWAZ.COM. BREWAZ.COM. 2019. Available from: https://brewaz.com/breaking-news/nike-pays-nearly-70-million-to-buy-goodyear-industrial-facility-developed-by-lpc/

[6] Statista. Revenue of the apparel market worldwide by country 2023. Statista. 2024. Available from: https://www.statista.com/forecasts/758683/revenue-of-the-apparel-market-worldwide-by-country

[7] US Apparel Industry Statistics Statistics: Market Data Report 2024. Available from: https://worldmetrics.org/us-apparel-industry-statistics/

[8] United States (US) Apparel Market Size and trend analysis by category, retail channel, consumer attitudes, brands and forecast to 2028. Market Research Reports & Consulting | GlobalData UK Ltd. 2024. Available from: https://www.globaldata.com/store/report/usa-apparel-market-analysis/

[9] Indy M. What is Reshoring And How Are 3PLs Like MSL Are Bringing Manufacturing Back To USA - MSL Packaging & MSL COPACK + ECOMM. 2023. Available from: https://msl-indy.com/what-is-reshoring-and-how-are-3pls-like-msl-are-bringing-manufacturing-back-to-usa/

[10] The Return of Manufacturing: North Americas Reshoring | FTI. Available from: https://www.fticonsulting.com/insights/articles/return-manufacturing-north-americas-reshoring-movement

[11] Sarahrudge. Why the Reshoring Renaissance is the Future of U.S. Manufacturing | Manufacturing Today [Internet]. Manufacturing Today. 2024. Available from: https://manufacturing-today.com/news/why-the-reshoring-renaissance-is-the-future-of-u-s-manufacturing/

[12] Consumers are in fact buying sustainable goods: Highlights from new research. McKinsey & Company. 2023. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/consumer-packaged-goods/our-insights/consumers-are-in-fact-buying-sustainable-goods-highlights-from-new-research

[13] Supply chains can be a climate game-changer. Here's why. World Economic Forum. 2024. Available from: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2021/01/tackling-supply-chain-emissions-is-a-game-changer-for-climate-action/

[14] Harris K. Forty years of falling manufacturing employment [Internet]. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2020. Available from: https://www.bls.gov/opub/btn/volume-9/forty-years-of-falling-manufacturing-employment.htm

[15] Davis R. Rachael Davis. 2024. Available from: https://www.textileworld.com/textile-world/2024/07/2024-state-of-the-u-s-textile-industry/

[16] United States Joint Economic Committee. Fact Sheet: The manufacturing renaissance that will drive the economy of the future [Internet]. Fact Sheet: The Manufacturing Renaissance That Will Drive the Economy of the Future - United States Joint Economic Committee. 2024. Available from: https://www.jec.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/democrats/2024/4/fact-sheet-the-manufacturing-renaissance-that-will-drive-the-economy-of-the-future

[17] Roshitsh K. U.S. fashion manufacturing hubs share success of worker-owned models. WWD. 2023 Jun 29; Available from: https://wwd.com/sustainability/social-impact/u-s-fashion-manufacturing-hubs-worker-owned-models-textiles-industry-1235717486/

[18] DiLonardo MJ. What is fast fashion—and why is it a problem? [Internet]. Treehugger. 2024. Available from: https://www.treehugger.com/fast-fashion-environmental-ethical-issues-4869800

[19] Muzquiz A. Levi's - history, philosophy, and iconic products. Heddels. 2023 Mar 30. Available from: https://www.heddels.com/2017/09/levis-history-philosophy-and-iconic-products/

[20] Freyman A. Berkshire Knitting Mills. Berks Nostalgia. 2023 Sep 24. Available from: https://berksnostalgia.com/berkshire-knitting-mills/

[21] Union-Made: Fashioning America in the Twentieth century. Online Exhibitions Across Cornell University Library. 2017. Available from: https://exhibits.library.cornell.edu/union-made

[22] Davis R. Automated cutting & sewing developments. Textile World. 2020 Mar 26. Available from: https://www.textileworld.com/textile-world/features/2020/03/automated-cutting-sewing-developments/

[23] Vatz S. Why America stopped making its own clothes. The Lowdown. 2021 Feb 17. Available from: https://www.kqed.org/lowdown/7939/madeinamerica

[24] Annett-Hitchcock K. A retrospect on the US apparel industry: expert predictions and reality data. NCSU. 2021. Available from: https://www.academia.edu/49047390/A_retrospect_on_the_US_apparel_industry_expert_predictions_and_reality_data

[25] Wolfe LR, Wolfe LR. The rise of fast fashion: globalization and waste. Cold War Studies. 2023 May 25. Available from: https://coldwarstudies.com/2022/09/08/the-rise-of-fast-fashion-globalization-and-waste/

[26] USA Love List: Stuff We Love, Made in the USA. Where are Levi's Made? USA Love List. 2023 Dec 27. Available from: https://www.usalovelist.com/are-levis-made-in-the-usa/

[27] Alley A. Then and now: Isaac Singer's sewing machine and additively manufactured threads. Automation Alley. [n.d.]. Available from: https://www.automationalley.com/articles/manufacturing-then-and-now-elias-howes-sewing-machine-revolutionizes-textile-and-garment-industry

[28] Levi Strauss closes last two U.S. plants. NBC News. 2004 Jan 8. Available from: https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna3909407

[29] Era ends as Levi's closes Texas plants, last in U.S. Chron. 2004 Jan 9. Available from: https://www.chron.com/business/article/era-ends-as-levi-s-closes-texas-plants-last-in-1567390.php

[30] Rarick C, Angriawan A, Firlej K. Case analysis and notes: Levi Strauss: an iconic American company attempts to reinvent itself. J Mark Strateg Manag. 2017;44-59. doi:10.21607/jmsm.2017.0003.

[31] Henderson J. Levi Strauss & Co. – a supply chain transformation at one of the world's best-recognised brands. Supply Chain Magazine. 2020 May 17. Available from: https://supplychaindigital.com/digital-supply-chain/levi-strauss-and-co-supply-chain-transformation-one-worlds-best-recognised-brands

[32] Singh H. Inside Levi's digital transformation. Harvard Business Review. 2022 Feb 18. Available from: https://hbr.org/2022/02/4-lessons-from-levis-digital-transformation

[33] Joe A. The global ripple effect of fast fashion on economic patterns. Market Business News. 2024 Oct 16. Available from: https://marketbusinessnews.com/the-global-ripple-effect-of-fast-fashion-on-economic-patterns/400472/

[34] Textiles - Part 4: Decline, consolidation, and the future of textiles in the state. NCPEDIA. [n.d.]. Available from: https://www.ncpedia.org/textiles-part-4-decline

[35] Barkin D. Tale of the tape: how manufacturing's decline shaped North Carolina. Business North Carolina. 2021 Jul 5. Available from: https://businessnc.com/tale-of-the-tape-how-manufacturings-decline-shaped-north-carolina/

[36] Kozelsky H. Three major MHC job transitions. Martinsville-Henry County Historical Society. 2023 Dec 4. Available from: https://www.mhchistoricalsociety.org/articles/three-major-mhc-job-transitions

[37] Bonior BJ. They once had the best job in town. not anymore. Alliance for American Manufacturing. 2020 Sep 14. Available from: https://www.americanmanufacturing.org/blog/they-once-had-the-best-job-in-town-not-anymore/

[38] Martins M. American Textile Colossus: a definitive history. Fall River Historical Society. 2020 Dec 9. Available from: https://fallriverhistorical.org/CuratorsCorner/2020/12/09/american-textile-colossus-a-definitive-history/

[39] Fall River, MA. Textiles History. [n.d.]. Available from: https://history.textiles.ncsu.edu/textile-places/fall-river-ma/

[40] Jones C. Where have the manufacturing jobs gone as U.S. factories closed? USA TODAY. 2022 Feb 1. Available from: https://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2022/02/01/manufacturing-jobs-factory-closings/9298274002/

[41] The abandonment of cities and towns in the heartland. Manufacturing.net. 2023. Available from: https://www.manufacturing.net/labor/blog/22879058/the-abandonment-of-cities-and-towns-in-the-heartland

[42] How current global trends are disrupting the fashion industry. McKinsey & Company. 2022 Jun 23. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/how-current-global-trends-are-disrupting-the-fashion-industry

[43] The 2008 oil price shock: markets or mayhem?. Resources for the Future. Available from: https://www.resources.org/common-resources/the-2008-oil-price-shock-markets-or-mayhem/

[44] Klepacz M. Price setting and volatility: evidence from oil price volatility shocks. International Finance Discussion Papers. 2021;(1316). Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Available from: https://doi.org/10.17016/IFDP.2021.1316

[45] Broome J. Fashion industry struggles with currency volatility. Worldesk. 2022. Available from: https://worldesk.com/2020/07/fashion-industry-currency-volatility/

[46] Clark E, Hopkins K. Fashion's currency give and take. WWD. 2022 Aug 8; Available from: https://wwd.com/business-news/financial/euro-dollar-parity-currency-fashion-retail-luxury-lmvh-1235293792/

[47] Pirolo L. Demand Forecasting in the fashion Industry: A review. www.academia.edu. 2022 Jun 23; Available from: https://www.academia.edu/82114397/Demand_Forecasting_in_the_Fashion_Industry_A_Review

[48] Nenni ME, Giustiniano L, Pirolo L. Demand forecasting in the fashion industry: A review. Int J Eng Bus Manag. 2013;5. doi:10.5772/56840.

[49] Robinson PK, Hsieh L. Reshoring: a strategic renewal of luxury clothing supply chains. Operations Management Research. 2016 Jun 29;9(3–4):89–101. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12063-016-0116-x

[50] James AM, Mather S, Sheridan KJ. Rethinking the fashion Value Chain: How reshoring can create a localised product lifecycle and support sustainable economic growth. In: Sustainable textiles. 2023. p. 1–39. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-37060-1_1

[51] The impact of US-China trade tensions. IMF. 2019. Available from: https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2019/05/23/blog-the-impact-of-us-china-trade-tensions

[52] Wu JCY. USA-China Trade War's Impact on Apparel Sourcing: A Comprehensive Four-Year Review (Updated December [Internet]. www.manhattansez.com. 2023. Available from: https://www.manhattansez.com/en/usa-china-trade-wars-impact-on-apparel-sourcing/

[53] Journal FL. Tariffs, Taxes, and Textiles: Understanding the Impact of trade policies on global fashion Supply Chains. Fashion Law Journal. 2023. Available from: https://fashionlawjournal.com/tariffs-taxes-and-textiles-understanding-the-impact-of-trade-policies-on-global-fashion-supply-chains/

[54] LeSavage H. How Trump's tariffs will affect your closet, explained. Marie Claire Magazine. 2024 Nov 12; Available from: https://www.marieclaire.com/fashion/trump-tariffs-explained/

[55] Amiti M, Redding SJ, Weinstein D. The impact of the 2018 trade war on U.S. prices and welfare. Working Paper. Working Paper Series No. 25672. National Bureau of Economic Research; 2019 Mar. doi:10.3386/w25672. Available from: http://www.nber.org/papers/w25672.

[56] Norland BAM and E. China-Plus-One strategy. CME Group. 2024 Nov 18; Available from: https://www.cmegroup.com/education/featured-reports/china-plus-one-strategy.html

[57] Fw. How India stands in the apparel & textile industry's China + 1 strategy. Available from: https://www.fashionatingworld.com/new1-2/how-india-stands-in-the-apparel-textile-industry-s-china-1-strategy

[58] Morales J. Fashion's big opportunity in the era of China Plus one. Fibre2Fashion. 2022. Available from: https://www.fibre2fashion.com/industry-article/9371/fashion-s-big-opportunity-in-the-era-of-china-plus-one

[59] Gordon Brothers finances Brooks Brothers to meet liquidity needs - case study. Gordon Brothers. 2022. Available from: https://www.gordonbrothers.com/case-study/brooks-brothers/

[60] Stars Design Group. Geopolitical influences on the fashion industry. Stars Design Group. 2024. Available from: https://starsdesigngroup.com/2024/02/06/threads-of-power-geopolitical-influences-on-the-fashion-industry/

[61] Younis H, Alsharairi M, Younes H, Sundarakani B. The impact of COVID-19 on supply chains: systematic review and future research directions. Operational Research. 2023 Jul 7;23(3). Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12351-023-00790-w

[62] Editorial: fashion supply chain management during and after the COVID-19 global pandemic. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management . 2024 Oct 10;28(4):605–9. Available from: https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/jfmm-07-2024-356/full/html

[63] Here's how coronavirus has affected Asia's factories. World Economic Forum. 2024. Available from: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/04/asias-factory-activity-coronavirus/

[64] Mazumdaru S. Southeast Asia COVID surge disrupts global supply chains. dw.com. 2021 Sep 5; Available from: https://www.dw.com/en/covid-surge-in-southeast-asia-disrupts-global-supply-chains/a-59062324

[65] Silver BS. How Kellogg's, Nike, and HP handled 2020 supply chain disruptions. FM Magazine [Internet]. 2021 Jan 25; Available from: https://www.fm-magazine.com/news/2021/jan/coronavirus-supply-chain-disruptions-kelloggs-nike-hp.html

[66] How Nike transformed supply chains during Covid | CIPSInsights. Available from: https://www.cips.org/knowledge-and-insight/how-nike-transformed-supply-chains-to-survive-covid

[67] Eustochos. Nike and the 2024 Supply Chain Disruption: A case study in Crisis management. Eustochos. 2024. Available from: https://eustochos.com/nike-and-the-2024-supply-chain-disruption-a-case-study-in-crisis-management/

[68] Mpotepa. Nike learns to mass customize shoes while Near-Shoring. Supply Chain Resource Cooperative. Available from: https://scm.ncsu.edu/scm-articles/article/nike-learns-to-mass-customize-shoes-while-near-shoring

[69] Balchandani A, Rölkens F, D'Auria G. The State of Fashion 2025: Challenges at every turn. McKinsey & Company. 2024. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/state-of-fashion

[70] Covers D. Apparel Industry outlook in 2023. DFU Publications. Available from: https://dfupublications.com/categories/article/apparel-industry-outlook-in-2023

[71] Colato J, Ice L, Laycock S. Industry and occupational employment projections overview and highlights, 2023–33. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2024. Available from: https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2024/article/industry-and-occupational-employment-projections-overview-and-highlights-2023-33.htm.

[72] Ariella S. 28 Dazzling Fashion Industry Statistics [2023]: How much is the fashion industry worth. Zippia. 2023. Available from: https://www.zippia.com/advice/fashion-industry-statistics/

[73] Global fashion industry statistics. FashionUnited. Available from: https://fashionunited.com/global-fashion-industry-statistics

[74] Report 2023: New Business Models for the Manufacturing of the Future - World Manufacturing Foundation [Internet]. World Manufacturing Foundation. 2023. Available from: https://worldmanufacturing.org/report/report-2023-new-business-models-for-the-manufacturing-of-the-future/

[75] Thomas D. Annual report on the U.S. manufacturing economy: 2023. Advanced Manufacturing Series (NIST AMS). Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology; 2023. Available from: https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.AMS.600-13. Accessed November 17, 2024.

[76] Aptean. 2023 Apparel Forecast: 5 Key Strategies for growth. Aptean.com. 2023. Available from: https://www.aptean.com/en-US/insights/blog/2023-fashion-apparel-forecast

[77] Sataman S. 3 Maps show how we can unlock local clothing industries. Fibershed. 2023. Available from: https://fibershed.org/2020/07/16/3-maps-show-how-we-can-unlock-local-clothing-industries/

[78] Trends & Facts in the U.S. textile mill industry - IndustrySelect®. Available from: https://www.industryselect.com/blog/trends-facts-in-the-us-textile-mill-industry

[79] Davis R. Rachael Davis. 2024. Available from: https://www.textileworld.com/textile-world/2024/07/2024-state-of-the-u-s-textile-industry/

[80] Invigorating an established yet fragile textile industry. Manufacturing USA. 2022. Available from: https://www.manufacturingusa.com/studies/invigorating-established-yet-fragile-textile-industry

[81] Examples of vertically integrated companies | Bizfluent [Internet]. Bizfluent. Available from: https://bizfluent.com/examples-of-vertically-integrated-companies.html

[82] Brotherton-Bunch BE. How do you build a made in USA supply chain? American giant CEO shares how to get it done - Alliance for American Manufacturing. Alliance for American Manufacturing. 2024. Available from: https://www.americanmanufacturing.org/blog/how-do-you-build-a-made-in-usa-supply-chain-american-giant-ceo-shares-how-to-get-it-done/

[83] Lidsky D, Lidsky D. One man's (maybe) quixotic quest to revive American manufacturing. Fast Company. 2023. Available from: https://www.fastcompany.com/90977046/american-giant-manufacturing-bayard-winthrop

[84] Brooke Lanning, Contributing Editor. How New Balance has weathered years of supply chain disruptions. SupplyChainBrain. 2024 Apr 26; Available from: https://www.supplychainbrain.com/articles/39567-how-new-balance-has-weathered-years-of-supply-chain-disruptions

[85] Filippi E, Bannò M, Trento S. Automation technologies and their impact on employment: A review, synthesis and future research agenda. Technological Forecasting and Social Change [Internet]. 2023 Mar 10;191:122448. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162523001336

[86] Chui M, George K, Manyika J, Miremadi M. Human + machine: A new era of automation in manufacturing [Internet]. McKinsey & Company. 2017. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/operations/our-insights/human-plus-machine-a-new-era-of-automation-in-manufacturing

[87] New Balance Athletic Shoe, Inc. -- Company History. Available from: https://www.company-histories.com/New-Balance-Athletic-Shoe-Inc-Company-History.html

[88] Verry P. New Balance CEO Joe Preston on the Brand's Resurgence, Commitment to Boston and Domestic Manufacturing. Footwear News. 2022 Jun 6; Available from: https://footwearnews.com/shoes/outdoor-footwear/new-balance-ceo-joe-preston-interview-1203294826/

[89] Gintautas Dumcius, gdumcius@masslive.com. Sneaker Wars: Get a look inside the New Balance shoe factory in Lawrence (photos). Masslive. 2016. Available from: https://www.masslive.com/business-news/2016/04/ten_things_about_the_new_balance_shoe_fa.html

[90] Soliwal T. Fashion Tech: How Technology is Shaping the Future of Apparel. Fibre2Fashion. 2024. Available from: https://www.fibre2fashion.com/industry-article/9886/fashion-tech-how-technology-is-shaping-the-future-of-apparel

[91] Fractal Analytics. Refashioning the fashion landscape: harnessing the potential of computer vision and AI. Fractal. 2023. Available from: https://fractal.ai/reshaping-fashion-with-computer-vision-and-ai/

[92] Invent 3D Ltd. Fashion Forward: The impact of 3D printing in the fashion industry. Invent 3D. 2024. Available from: https://invent3d.design/fashion-industry-3d-printing/

[93] How Digital Twins Transforming Fashion Industry - SEAMM Phygital Fashion Marketplace. Seamm. 2024. Available from: https://seamm.io/blog/how-digital-twins-transforming-fashion-industry

[94] Ginsberg B. The role of AI in optimizing supply chain management in the fashion industry. ApparelMagic. 2023. Available from: https://apparelmagic.com/the-role-of-ai-in-optimizing-supply-chain-management-in-the-fashion-industry/

[95] Badhwar A, Islam S, Tan CSL. Exploring the potential of blockchain technology within the fashion and textile supply chain with a focus on traceability, transparency, and product authenticity: A systematic review. Frontiers in Blockchain. 2023 Feb 20;6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/blockchain/articles/10.3389/fbloc.2023.1044723/full

[96] Adanza F. Walmart's Supply Chain: A Detailed Look at How They Manage It - Vector. Vector. 2024. Available from: https://www.withvector.com/blog/walmarts-supply-chain-a-detailed-look-at-how-they-manage-it/

[97] How to make textile and garment production more sustainable. World Economic Forum. 2024. Available from: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2023/11/textile-garment-industry-sustainability-policy-measures/

[98] Janmark J, Magnus KH, Marcos I, Wiener E. Sustainable style: How fashion can afford and accelerate decarbonization. McKinsey & Company. 2024. Available from: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/sustainable-style-how-fashion-can-afford-and-accelerate-decarbonization

[99] Iwto. Patagonia Turns 105 Tonnes of Fishing Nets into Textiles. Suston Magazine. 2021. Available from: https://sustonmagazine.com/2021/09/29/patagonias-traceable-100-recycled-fishing-net-collection/

[100] NetPlus® Recycled Fishing Nets - Patagonia. Available from: https://www.patagonia.com/our-footprint/netplus-recycled-fishing-nets.html

[101] Sundheim D. How Patagonia became the most reputable brand in the United States. Forbes. 2024 Feb 20; Available from: https://www.forbes.com/sites/dougsundheim/2023/12/12/how-patagonia-became-the-most-reputable-brand-in-the-united-states/

[102] James AM, Mather S, Sheridan KJ. Rethinking the fashion Value Chain: How reshoring can create a localised product lifecycle and support sustainable economic growth. In: Sustainable textiles. 2023. p. 1–39. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-37060-1_1

[103] Sinatra F, Marinelli C. Reshoring in Fashion: Overview of Current Trends and Motivation to Production and Re-localisation in the T&A Sector. In: Lecture notes in computer science. 2024. p. 286–99. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-65332-2_19

[104] James A, Mather S, Sheridan K. Rethinking the fashion value chain: how reshoring can create a localised product lifecycle and support sustainable economic growth. Northumbria University Research Portal. 2023. Available from: https://researchportal.northumbria.ac.uk/en/publications/rethinking-the-fashion-value-chain-how-reshoring-can-create-a-loc

[105] Massachusetts Institute of Technology. A reshoring renaissance is underway | MIT Sloan Management Review . MIT Sloan Management Review. 2023. Available from: https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/a-reshoring-renaissance-is-underway/

[106] Burke R, Mahto M, Bowman GL, Cotteleer M. Reshoring or localization on your mind?. Deloitte Insights. 2023. Available from: https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/operations/reshoring-supply-chain.html

[107] Point To Point. Redrawing the Supply Chain: The Ultimate Guide to Nearshoring and Reshoring. Averitt; 2024. Available from: https://www.averitt.com/blog/ultimate-guide-nearshoring-reshoring

[108] The Return of Manufacturing: North Americas Reshoring | FTI. Available from: https://www.fticonsulting.com/insights/articles/return-manufacturing-north-americas-reshoring-movement

[109] Grigoras C. Low-Volume Manufacturing: Strategies for successful small batch production. Quickparts. 2024. Available from: https://quickparts.com/low-volume-manufacturing-strategies-for-successful-small-batch-production/

[110] Under Armour, Inc. Under Armour opens UA Lighthouse manufacturing and design leadership center in the brand's hometown of Baltimore. PR Newswire; 2016 Jun 28 [cited 2024 Nov 19]. Available from: https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/under-armour-opens-ua-lighthouse-manufacturing-and-design-leadership-center-in-the-brands-hometown-of-baltimore-300291156.html

[111] Killoran J. Strategic Manufacturing Locations: Balancing costs, risks, and opportunities. Lutco, Inc. 2024. Available from: https://www.lutco.com/strategic-reshoring-manufacturing-benefits/

[112] Janmark J, Magnus KH, Marcos I, Wiener E. Sustainable style: How fashion can afford and accelerate decarbonization. McKinsey & Company. 2024. Available from:

https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/sustainable-style-how-fashion-can-afford-and-accelerate-decarbonization

[113] Li Z, Zhou Y, Zhao M, Guan D, Yang Z. The carbon footprint of fast fashion consumption and mitigation strategies-a case study of jeans. The Science of the Total Environment. 2024 Mar 7;924:171508. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0048969724016498

[114] Ramírez-Escamilla HG, Martínez-Rodríguez MC, Padilla-Rivera A, Domínguez-Solís D, Campos-Villegas LE. Advancing toward Sustainability: A Systematic review of circular economy strategies in the textile industry. Recycling. 2024 Oct 9;9(5):95. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2313-4321/9/5/95

[115] Falcony. 7 Innovative Initiatives in Waste Management and Recycling. Falcony Blog; 2024 May 30 [cited 2024 Nov 19]. Available from: https://blog.falcony.io/en/7-innovative-initiatives-in-waste-management-and-recycling

[116] McGlasson R. This clothing brand will pay you to return your old sweaters, pants, and dresses — no matter what condition they're in. The Cool Down. 2024. Available from: https://www.thecooldown.com/green-business/eileen-fisher-renew-program-luxury-brand-buy-back/

[117] Eileen Fisher. EILEEN FISHER Renew Program Reaches 2 Million Garments. Eileen Fisher Journal; 2023 Apr 10 [cited 2024 Nov 19]. Available from: https://www.eileenfisher.com/a-sustainable-life/journal/sustainability/renew-program-reaches-2-million-garments.html

[118] Magill K. Manufacturing could be short 1.9M workers if the talent gap isn't fixed. Manufacturing Dive. 2024 Apr 16; Available from: https://www.manufacturingdive.com/news/manufacturing-labor-shortage-2033-deloitte-mi-report-2024/713133/

[119] Hemphill T. Confronting the U.S. Advanced Manufacturing Skills Gap - AAF. AAF. 2013. Available from: https://www.americanactionforum.org/insight/confronting-the-u-s-advanced-manufacturing-skills-gap/

[120] Lee N, Kim N, Kim G, Ha J. Industrial–educational collaboration case study for fur design education. Fashion and Textiles. 2017 Mar 17;4(1). Available from: https://fashionandtextiles.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40691-017-0096-y

[121] World Economic Forum. The Future of Industrial Strategies. 2023. Available from: https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_The_Future_of_Industrial_Strategies_2023.pdf.

[122] Reshoring Institute. Global Labor Rate Comparisons. 2022. Available from: https://reshoringinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/GlobalLaborRateComparisons.pdf.

[123] Van Wyck J, Phillips K, Gilbert M, McAdoo M, Xue H, Srivastava R, et al. Harnessing the tectonic shifts in global manufacturing. BCG Global. 2024. Available from: https://www.bcg.com/publications/2023/harnessing-tectonic-global-shift-in-manufacturing

[124] H S. Balancing automation and human workforce: The Future of Staffing in Manufacturing - The Lee Group [Internet]. The Lee Group. 2024. Available from: https://theleegroup.com/balancing-automation-and-human-workforce-the-future-of-staffing-in-manufacturing/

[125] Apparel Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report by category (Mass, Premium, Luxury), by end-user (Men, Women, Children), by distribution channel (Online, Offline) by region, and segment Forecasts, 2024 - 2030. Available from: https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/apparel-market-report

[126] Pearl D. Inside Fashion's LA entrepreneurship boom. The Business of Fashion. 2024 Nov 19; Available from: https://www.businessoffashion.com/articles/entrepreneurship/inside-fashions-la-entrepreneurship-boom/

[127] Wikipedia contributors. Los Angeles Fashion District. Wikipedia. 2024. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Los_Angeles_Fashion_District