



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## The impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being: A sociological review of African case studies and implications for U. S. policies

Adebimpe Oluwabukade Adefila <sup>1,\*</sup>, Oluwatosin Omotola Ajayi <sup>2</sup>, Adekunle Stephen Toromade <sup>3</sup> and Ngodoo Joy Sam-Bulya <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Sociology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> University of Bradford UK.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, Ladole Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria.

<sup>4</sup> Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria.

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 13(02), 335-348

Publication history: Received on 23 September 2024; revised on 01 November 2024; accepted on 04 November 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.13.2.2120>

### Abstract

This sociological review examines the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being in African communities, drawing on case studies that highlight the role of social factors—class, ethnicity, and community networks—in shaping development outcomes. African agricultural initiatives have revealed complex interactions between economic and social dimensions, demonstrating that agricultural growth alone does not automatically translate to equitable socioeconomic benefits. The influence of class disparities often means that wealthier groups gain disproportionately from development projects, while marginalized ethnicities and lower-income communities encounter barriers to economic participation. Community networks, however, play a critical role in mitigating such inequalities by promoting resource sharing, knowledge exchange, and resilience-building within rural societies. This analysis offers valuable insights for U.S. policy aimed at rural poverty reduction and inclusive growth. Specifically, it suggests that U.S. agricultural policies could benefit from integrating sociological perspectives that address social disparities and strengthen community bonds within rural areas. By recognizing the role of social capital and community networks, U.S. policies could enhance resource accessibility and foster inclusive economic growth, particularly in underserved rural regions. The review concludes by identifying directions for future research, emphasizing the need to explore how agricultural development influences social mobility within rural communities, particularly regarding class and ethnic dynamics. Additional areas for investigation include the intersection of economic development and social inequality, as well as the sociological impacts of agricultural policies on rural household dynamics. Understanding these relationships can inform policies that not only support agricultural productivity but also ensure that growth leads to improved well-being across all social groups.

**Keywords:** Agricultural Development; Socioeconomic Well-Being; Social Inequality; Class; Ethnicity; Community Networks; Rural Poverty; Inclusive Growth; Social Mobility; Rural U.S. Policies

### 1. Introduction

Agricultural development has long been recognized as a pivotal driver of economic growth and social transformation, particularly in developing regions. Its dual role in enhancing food security and promoting income generation makes it a crucial focus for policymakers and researchers alike. In many African countries, agriculture remains the backbone of the economy, contributing significantly to employment, gross domestic product (GDP), and livelihoods (Gautam & Andersen, 2016, Gordon, 2022, Olsson & Jerneck, 2018). However, the impacts of agricultural development extend beyond mere economic metrics; they profoundly influence the socioeconomic well-being of communities, shaping social

\* Corresponding author: Adebimpe Oluwabukade Adefila

structures, power dynamics, and individual opportunities. This multifaceted relationship necessitates a thorough examination of agricultural development through a sociological lens, enabling a deeper understanding of how social factors such as class, ethnicity, and community networks influence development outcomes.

Sociology offers valuable insights into the social dimensions of agricultural development, revealing how systemic inequalities and community dynamics interact with economic initiatives. For instance, while agricultural policies might be designed to enhance productivity and income, the actual benefits often reflect existing social hierarchies and disparities. In many African contexts, access to resources—such as land, credit, and technology—can be highly stratified along lines of class and ethnicity (Giller, et al., 2021, Gordon, et al., 2018, Ouma, et al., 2023). This stratification often results in marginalized groups being excluded from development processes, perpetuating cycles of poverty and inequality. By examining these social factors, we can gain a more nuanced perspective on agricultural development, moving beyond simplistic narratives of growth to consider who benefits and who is left behind.

The purpose of this review is to explore the intersection of agricultural development and socioeconomic well-being through an analysis of African case studies. By focusing on specific instances of agricultural initiatives, we aim to understand the varied outcomes these initiatives produce and the underlying social dynamics at play (Giordano & de Fraiture, 2014, Greer, 2022, Oyakhilomen & Zibah, 2014). Case studies from diverse regions and contexts within Africa will illuminate how different communities navigate agricultural development, the social barriers they encounter, and the strategies they employ to leverage opportunities. This approach not only highlights the complexity of agricultural development but also underscores the need for context-specific solutions that consider local social realities.

Moreover, the implications of these findings extend beyond the African continent, providing valuable lessons for U.S. policies aimed at reducing rural poverty and promoting inclusive growth. As the U.S. grapples with its own challenges related to rural development, including declining agricultural economies and increasing disparities in wealth and opportunity, there is much to learn from the experiences of African countries (Glover, 2018, Hall, Scoones & Tsikata, 2017, Papadopoulos & Fratsea, 2021). The sociological insights gleaned from these case studies can inform U.S. policymakers about the importance of integrating social considerations into agricultural strategies, ensuring that development efforts do not exacerbate existing inequalities but rather promote inclusive and sustainable growth.

Central to this review are several key research questions that guide our exploration of the relationship between agricultural development and socioeconomic well-being. First, how do social factors, including class, ethnicity, and community networks, influence agricultural development outcomes (Gómez-Limón, et al., 2014, Halstead & Deller, 2015, Parra Vázquez, et al., 2020)? This question invites an investigation into the ways in which social identity and community dynamics intersect with economic initiatives, shaping who benefits from agricultural development and who remains marginalized. Understanding these social factors is crucial for developing more equitable policies that recognize and address existing disparities.

Second, what lessons can the U.S. learn from African experiences to shape policies for inclusive rural growth? This question emphasizes the need for cross-cultural learning and adaptation in policy formulation. By analyzing successful strategies and approaches employed in African agricultural development, U.S. policymakers can identify practices that promote equity and community empowerment (Gatwiri, Amboko & Okolla, 2020, Hausermann, et al., 2018, Peters, 2018). Furthermore, this comparative perspective encourages a critical examination of U.S. agricultural policies, prompting reflection on how they can be adjusted to better serve marginalized communities and foster inclusive growth.

In conclusion, the intersection of agricultural development and socioeconomic well-being presents a rich area for sociological inquiry, particularly within the context of African case studies. By examining how social factors influence development outcomes, we can better understand the complexities of agricultural growth and its implications for community well-being (Enzor, et al., 2021, Horst, McClintock & Hoey, 2024, Prayitno, et al., 2022). This review not only aims to shed light on the experiences of African communities but also seeks to provide insights that can inform U.S. policies, ultimately contributing to more inclusive and effective approaches to rural development. As we explore the interplay between agriculture and society, it is crucial to recognize that sustainable development is not merely a matter of increasing productivity; it is about ensuring that the benefits of that productivity are equitably distributed across all segments of society.

---

## 2. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for understanding the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being through a sociological lens is grounded in several key sociological perspectives. These perspectives allow for a nuanced

analysis of how agricultural initiatives affect communities, particularly in African contexts (Diehl, 2023, Huyer, et al., 2021, Quisumbing, et al., 2015). The sociological theories of social stratification and social capital are particularly relevant, as they provide insights into the dynamics of power, inequality, and resource allocation that shape agricultural outcomes.

Social stratification refers to the hierarchical arrangement of individuals and groups in society based on various factors such as wealth, power, education, and social status. This concept is crucial in understanding how agricultural development initiatives can perpetuate or challenge existing inequalities within rural communities. For instance, agricultural development programs that focus solely on increasing productivity may inadvertently favor wealthier farmers who already possess resources such as land, capital, and access to technology (Das, et al., 2020, Iglesias & Garrote, 2015, Rafael, 2023). These individuals are often better positioned to take advantage of new agricultural techniques, government subsidies, and market opportunities. Conversely, marginalized groups, including smallholder farmers, women, and ethnic minorities, may face barriers to participation in these initiatives due to their lower socioeconomic status. As a result, agricultural development may reinforce existing social hierarchies, exacerbating disparities rather than fostering inclusive growth.

The concept of social capital is equally important in this context, as it highlights the role of social networks and relationships in facilitating access to resources and opportunities. Social capital encompasses the networks of relationships among individuals and the value these connections bring to economic and social activities. In agricultural communities, social capital can manifest in various forms, such as cooperative farming arrangements, knowledge sharing, and community-based organizations (Dabson, 2020, Javed, et al., 2024, Rao, et al., 2016). Communities with strong social capital are better equipped to mobilize resources, share information about best practices, and advocate for their needs in agricultural policy discussions. This communal approach can enhance the overall efficacy of agricultural development initiatives, enabling broader participation and more equitable outcomes.

Conversely, communities with weak social capital may struggle to leverage collective resources, leaving individuals isolated and vulnerable to the challenges posed by agricultural development. This isolation can limit their access to markets, credit, and technical assistance, ultimately hindering their economic mobility and reinforcing cycles of poverty. Understanding the interplay between social stratification and social capital is essential for comprehensively analyzing agricultural development's impact on socioeconomic well-being.

Additionally, social determinants of socioeconomic well-being play a critical role in shaping economic opportunities and social mobility within rural contexts. Class, ethnicity, and community networks serve as vital components in determining how individuals and groups experience agricultural development and its benefits. Class differences significantly influence access to resources, market opportunities, and decision-making power within agricultural systems (Cousins, et al., 2020, Jayne, Chamberlin & Headey, 2014, Raymond-Flesch, et al., 2017). In many African countries, economic class often intersects with historical patterns of land ownership and access, where wealthier classes typically control larger tracts of land and have better access to agricultural inputs. This concentration of wealth can limit opportunities for poorer farmers, who may lack the necessary resources to invest in productive practices or diversify their agricultural activities.

Ethnicity is another crucial factor influencing agricultural development outcomes. In many African contexts, ethnic identity can dictate access to land, resources, and political power. Ethnic tensions and historical grievances can further complicate the dynamics of agricultural development, often leading to unequal distribution of benefits. For example, certain ethnic groups may be favored in governmental policies or development initiatives, while others are systematically excluded. This favoritism can exacerbate existing tensions and create a cycle of marginalization, where certain groups continuously face barriers to economic participation and social mobility.

Community networks also play a significant role in shaping socioeconomic well-being. These networks can facilitate the sharing of knowledge and resources, helping individuals and families improve their agricultural practices and overall livelihoods. Strong community ties enable collective action, which can be critical in advocating for policies that support equitable agricultural development (Cousins, 2019, Johnson, et al., 2016, Reardon & Timmer, 2014). Moreover, community networks foster resilience in the face of economic shocks, such as droughts or market fluctuations, by providing social support and resource-sharing mechanisms. In contrast, communities characterized by weak networks may find it challenging to respond effectively to agricultural challenges, limiting their socioeconomic advancement. The interplay of class, ethnicity, and community networks creates a complex landscape where agricultural development can yield varying results based on social positioning and community dynamics.

Examining the impact of agricultural development through these sociological lenses reveals that it is not merely an economic endeavor but a deeply social process. The success of agricultural initiatives hinges on understanding and addressing the underlying social structures that shape access to resources and opportunities (Collier & Dercon, 2014, Kabini, 2022, Reardon, et al., 2019). As such, agricultural development policies must consider the sociological factors that influence outcomes to promote inclusive growth effectively. For U.S. policymakers, the insights drawn from these sociological perspectives are invaluable. As the U.S. seeks to address its rural challenges, including poverty and economic stagnation, it can benefit from a more holistic understanding of agricultural development that incorporates social determinants. By acknowledging the importance of social capital, class disparities, and ethnic dynamics, U.S. agricultural policies can be designed to foster greater inclusivity and support for marginalized communities.

Future research directions could further explore these sociological aspects, particularly the role of social mobility in agricultural communities. Investigating how individuals navigate their social environments and leverage social capital for upward mobility can shed light on effective strategies for promoting socioeconomic well-being (Chigbu, Paradza & Dachaga, 2019, Kaur, 2016, Rivera, et al., 2018). Additionally, understanding the intersection of economic development and social inequality within agricultural contexts can inform policies that address the root causes of disparities. Finally, examining the sociological impacts of agricultural policies on rural household dynamics will enhance our understanding of how agricultural development shapes family structures and relationships. Such research can help policymakers design interventions that not only enhance agricultural productivity but also promote social cohesion and well-being within rural communities.

In conclusion, the theoretical framework for analyzing the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being highlights the importance of sociological perspectives. By examining social stratification, social capital, and the determinants of socioeconomic well-being, we can gain a deeper understanding of how agricultural initiatives influence communities (Cattaneo, et al., 2022, Kebede, 2020, Semali, 2021). This sociological lens reveals the complexities of agricultural development, providing essential insights for both African contexts and U.S. policy implications aimed at fostering inclusive growth and reducing rural poverty.

---

### 3. Analysis of African Case Studies

The impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being in Africa can be understood through various sociological lenses, with particular emphasis on class, ethnicity, and community networks (Castle, et al., 2021, Kirori, 2015, Shucksmith & Brown, 2016). Case studies from different African regions illuminate how these factors influence development outcomes and shape the benefits accrued from agricultural initiatives. Analyzing these dynamics offers valuable insights that could inform U.S. policies aimed at promoting inclusive growth and reducing rural poverty.

Class disparities significantly affect agricultural development outcomes, leading to varied access to resources and economic benefits. For instance, in many regions of East Africa, wealth concentration among higher socioeconomic classes often leads to a stark divide in agricultural productivity. In Kenya, research has shown that large-scale commercial farmers, who often belong to higher socioeconomic classes, enjoy preferential access to government subsidies, modern agricultural inputs, and advanced technologies. These advantages enable them to produce at a scale and efficiency that smallholder farmers cannot match. As a result, wealthier farmers can invest in improved irrigation systems, high-yield crop varieties, and sophisticated marketing strategies, thereby increasing their productivity and profits (Carrillo, Quisumbing King & Schafft, 2021, Leach, et al., 2020, Srivastav, et al., 2021). Conversely, smallholder farmers, many of whom are from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, face significant barriers to accessing these same resources. Limited access to credit, lack of land ownership, and inadequate market infrastructure hinder their ability to invest in agricultural improvements, leading to lower yields and perpetuating cycles of poverty.

In Zambia, the situation is similarly pronounced. A study highlighted how wealthier farmers were able to access government agricultural extension services more readily than their poorer counterparts. This access not only provided them with crucial technical knowledge but also facilitated connections to markets that were often unavailable to small-scale farmers. The result was a widening income gap, where wealthier farmers became increasingly prosperous while poorer farmers struggled to survive. The concentration of wealth among higher classes in agricultural projects exacerbates existing inequalities and underscores the need for policies that specifically target resource redistribution and equitable access to agricultural support services.

Ethnicity plays a critical role in shaping agricultural benefits, often creating barriers that hinder equitable development. In many African countries, historical ethnic divisions have resulted in significant disparities in access to land, subsidies, and resources. For example, in Nigeria, ethnic groups such as the Fulani and the Yoruba have different levels of access to agricultural resources, largely influenced by political representation and historical land tenure systems (Calcagnini

& Perugini, 2019, Lobao, 2016, Taylor & Bhasme, 2018). In regions where one ethnic group dominates, members of other ethnic groups may find it challenging to access critical resources necessary for agricultural production. This dynamic can lead to tensions and conflicts, further complicating the agricultural landscape.

A case study from Ethiopia illustrates the impact of ethnicity on agricultural outcomes. The country's land tenure system, which is often aligned with ethnic boundaries, means that certain ethnic groups have preferential access to land and government support. In contrast, minority ethnic groups may be marginalized, facing significant challenges in obtaining land rights and support for agricultural development (Bruno, et al., 2021, Logan & Castañeda, 2020, Tickamyer & Patel-Campillo, 2016). This exclusion not only affects individual farmers' productivity but also hinders broader community development, as entire ethnic groups are left behind in the agricultural growth narrative. As a result, the disparities rooted in ethnic divisions highlight the need for policies that promote inclusivity and equitable resource allocation, ensuring that all ethnic groups can benefit from agricultural development.

Community networks play an essential role in facilitating knowledge exchange, resource sharing, and resilience among farmers. The strength of community ties can significantly impact the success of agricultural initiatives, as seen in various case studies across Africa. For instance, in Malawi, farmer cooperatives have emerged as a powerful mechanism for smallholder farmers to pool resources, share knowledge, and access markets (Brown, et al., 2018, Lopes, 2015, Tomich, Kilby & Johnston, 2018). These cooperatives allow farmers to collectively purchase inputs, negotiate better prices, and share agricultural best practices. The success of these initiatives illustrates how community networks can enhance productivity and income for smallholder farmers, ultimately contributing to improved socioeconomic well-being.

Moreover, community networks serve as vital support systems during times of crisis, such as droughts or market fluctuations. A study conducted in Tanzania revealed that farmers who participated in community-based agricultural programs were more resilient to external shocks. These farmers benefited from shared resources, such as water management systems and collective storage facilities, which helped them mitigate the impacts of adverse weather conditions (Bluwstein, et al., 2018, Lopes, et al., 2021, Voola, et al., 2018). The social capital embedded in these community networks not only fosters sustainable agricultural practices but also strengthens community cohesion, enabling farmers to work together toward common goals.

In contrast, communities with weak social networks often struggle to mobilize resources and support. A case study in Southern Africa highlighted how smallholder farmers in isolated regions faced challenges in accessing information about market prices, agricultural techniques, and weather forecasts. Without strong community ties, these farmers were left vulnerable to exploitation by middlemen and lacked the collective bargaining power to improve their economic conditions. This underscores the importance of investing in community capacity-building initiatives that enhance social cohesion and empower farmers to work collaboratively.

The analysis of these African case studies reveals the complex interplay between class, ethnicity, and community networks in shaping the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being (Bizikova, et al., 2020, Mabhaudhi, et al., 2019, Weaich, 2024). Recognizing these dynamics is crucial for formulating effective agricultural policies that promote equitable growth. U.S. policymakers can draw valuable lessons from these experiences, particularly in their efforts to address rural poverty and foster inclusive development in their own agricultural contexts.

By understanding the role of class in resource access, policymakers can design targeted interventions that redistribute resources and support smallholder farmers, enabling them to compete more effectively in agricultural markets. Additionally, acknowledging the influence of ethnicity on agricultural outcomes can inform policies aimed at promoting inclusivity and reducing ethnic-based disparities in access to resources. Finally, investing in community networks and cooperative structures can enhance the resilience and sustainability of agricultural development efforts, fostering a more equitable distribution of benefits.

In conclusion, the analysis of African case studies on the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being underscores the importance of considering sociological factors in policy formulation. The disparities rooted in class, ethnicity, and community networks highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to agricultural development that prioritizes equity and inclusion (Besky & Brown, 2015, Manlosa, 2019, Wegenast, Khanna & Schneider, 2020). As the U.S. seeks to navigate its rural challenges, understanding these dynamics can lead to more effective policies that promote sustainable agricultural practices and improve the livelihoods of marginalized communities. The lessons learned from Africa can serve as a valuable guide in the quest for a more inclusive and equitable agricultural future, both in the U.S. and globally.

#### 4. Implications for U.S. Policies

The impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being, particularly as observed through African case studies, offers valuable insights that can significantly inform U.S. policies aimed at promoting rural development and reducing poverty. Given the complexities surrounding agricultural initiatives, a nuanced approach that incorporates social factors such as class, ethnicity, and community networks is essential (Bello, 2020, Manlosa, et al., 2019, Wegerif & Guereña, 2020). This understanding paves the way for policy recommendations that integrate community-based approaches, strengthen social capital, and address class and ethnic inequalities within the U.S. agricultural landscape.

To effectively reduce rural poverty, U.S. policymakers should prioritize community-based approaches that empower local populations and address social inequalities. African case studies illustrate the effectiveness of such initiatives in promoting agricultural development and enhancing socioeconomic well-being. For instance, the establishment of farmer cooperatives in countries like Malawi has allowed smallholder farmers to pool resources, share knowledge, and improve their collective bargaining power. The success of these cooperatives emphasizes the potential for similar models in the U.S., where rural communities can benefit from coordinated efforts to enhance productivity and income.

A key recommendation is to foster the creation of community agricultural cooperatives across rural America, particularly in underserved regions. These cooperatives could provide access to shared resources, including equipment, inputs, and marketing channels, enabling small-scale farmers to compete more effectively (Beegle & Christiaensen, 2019, McAreavey, 2022, Werhane, Newton & Wolfe, 2020). Furthermore, integrating training programs focused on sustainable farming practices, financial literacy, and market access within these cooperatives can significantly enhance the capacity of rural communities to improve their economic circumstances. By adopting a community-centered approach, U.S. policies can more effectively address the unique challenges faced by rural populations, particularly in areas where poverty levels are high.

Addressing social inequalities is also critical in shaping U.S. rural policy. Policymakers must consider the diverse demographics of rural communities, which often include a mix of different ethnicities and socioeconomic classes. By implementing policies that specifically target marginalized groups, such as low-income farmers and minority communities, the U.S. can work toward reducing disparities in access to resources and opportunities. This approach aligns with the lessons learned from African experiences, where social stratification and ethnic divisions have historically impacted agricultural development outcomes.

For example, targeted grant programs and financial assistance could be made available to minority farmers and low-income agricultural entrepreneurs, helping them overcome barriers to entry in the agricultural sector (Barrett, et al., 2017, McArthur & McCord, 2017, Woldemichael, et al., 2017). Additionally, policies that facilitate access to land for disadvantaged groups can create pathways for economic empowerment and foster a more equitable agricultural landscape. By actively promoting inclusive policies, the U.S. can ensure that all members of rural communities have the opportunity to benefit from agricultural development.

Moreover, promoting inclusive growth through social capital is essential for enhancing rural resource accessibility. In many African contexts, strong community networks have proven to be vital for knowledge exchange, resource sharing, and resilience. Similarly, in the U.S., strengthening community ties can lead to enhanced collaboration among farmers, agricultural organizations, and local governments. Policymakers should consider strategies that encourage the formation of networks and associations that facilitate information sharing and collective action.

One potential approach is to support the development of regional agricultural hubs that connect farmers with resources, training, and markets. These hubs can serve as focal points for community engagement, allowing farmers to collaborate on projects that enhance productivity and sustainability (Baalbaki & El Khoury, 2024, McKinnon, et al., 2016, Wynne-Jones, 2017). Additionally, investment in technology platforms that promote knowledge sharing among farmers can help disseminate best practices, market information, and innovative agricultural techniques. By leveraging social capital, U.S. policies can empower rural communities to navigate the challenges of agricultural development more effectively.

Addressing class and ethnic inequality in rural development is a crucial consideration for U.S. policymakers. The disparities highlighted in African case studies regarding access to resources and opportunities underscore the need for tailored policies that recognize and respond to social disparities. Policymakers must critically assess existing agricultural policies to identify areas where inequalities persist and actively seek to rectify these imbalances.

For instance, creating equitable access to agricultural extension services can enhance the effectiveness of U.S. rural development efforts. Currently, many rural areas, particularly those with high poverty rates, often lack sufficient agricultural support (Ba, 2016, Mellor, 2017, Yuill, et al., 2019). By investing in training programs and extending outreach efforts to marginalized communities, the U.S. can help level the playing field for all farmers. This approach not only fosters economic development but also strengthens community resilience by building the capacity of diverse groups to thrive in the agricultural sector.

Furthermore, implementing policies that promote diversity and inclusion within agricultural institutions can lead to more equitable outcomes. Encouraging the participation of underrepresented groups in decision-making processes related to agricultural policy can help ensure that their needs and perspectives are considered. Establishing advisory councils that include representatives from various socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds can facilitate this inclusive approach.

In conclusion, the implications for U.S. policies drawn from the analysis of agricultural development's impact on socioeconomic well-being in African case studies are profound. By integrating community-based approaches, promoting inclusive growth through social capital, and addressing class and ethnic inequalities, U.S. policymakers can create a more equitable and sustainable agricultural landscape (Antonucci, et al., 2019, Mkonda & He, 2016, Zerssa, et al., 2021). These policy recommendations not only align with the experiences and lessons learned from Africa but also hold the potential to transform rural America, fostering an environment where all individuals have the opportunity to succeed in agriculture. As the U.S. confronts ongoing challenges related to rural poverty and economic inequality, these insights provide a valuable roadmap for promoting agricultural development that enhances socioeconomic well-being for all rural communities.

---

## 5. Future Research Directions

The exploration of the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being through a sociological lens reveals numerous avenues for future research, particularly when considering the implications for both African case studies and U.S. policies. A crucial area of focus is the role of social mobility in agricultural communities, which presents an opportunity to examine how class-based barriers influence economic opportunities and overall quality of life within rural settings (Altieri, et al., 2015, Modi, 2019, Zimmerer, Lambin & Vanek, 2018). Research in this domain can provide insights into the factors that either facilitate or hinder mobility, thereby allowing for the development of policies that promote equity and inclusivity.

To begin, there is a pressing need for studies that investigate the barriers to social mobility faced by individuals and families in agricultural communities. These barriers may stem from various sources, including limited access to education, financial resources, and social networks. For instance, a detailed examination of how educational disparities affect mobility in rural contexts could provide valuable information on how to create more equitable opportunities for advancement. Understanding the role of local educational institutions in shaping the skill sets of agricultural workers, and how these institutions interact with community structures, will be pivotal.

Additionally, it would be beneficial to explore how class differences affect access to agricultural resources, such as land, technology, and financial assistance. Research could focus on specific case studies where socioeconomic status significantly impacts the success of agricultural ventures, assessing how these disparities are perpetuated over generations (Altieri & Nicholls, 2017, Mosse, 2018, Zipin, et al., 2015). This investigation could reveal patterns that inform policy interventions aimed at increasing access and opportunity for lower socioeconomic groups within the agricultural sector.

In conjunction with the exploration of social mobility, future research should also delve into the interplay between economic development and social inequality in rural regions. Understanding how economic growth is distributed among different social strata can elucidate the complexities of agricultural development and its impact on various community members. For example, studies could assess how economic benefits from agricultural initiatives are shared among different classes, particularly in the context of African agricultural models compared to U.S. practices.

Moreover, it is essential to investigate the mechanisms through which economic growth can exacerbate or alleviate social inequality. Research could explore case studies where agricultural development has led to increased wealth concentration among elite classes while simultaneously marginalizing poorer farmers (Akinagbe & Irohibe, 2014, Mukasa, et al., 2017, Zorrilla-Miras, et al., 2021). By examining such dynamics, researchers can gain insights into the relationship between agricultural policies, market structures, and social outcomes. These findings can inform U.S.

policies by highlighting the importance of equitable growth models that prioritize inclusivity rather than mere economic expansion.

Another critical area for future research is the sociological impact of agricultural policies on rural household dynamics. Agricultural policies play a significant role in shaping family structures, roles, and socioeconomic conditions within rural households. As such, examining how these policies influence household dynamics will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the broader implications of agricultural development (Akande, 2021, Nanetti & Holguin, 2016). Research in this area could focus on how policy changes, such as subsidies, land reform, or access to resources, affect family decision-making, labor division, and gender roles within rural households. For instance, analyzing how women's roles within agricultural families are influenced by specific policies could illuminate the gendered dimensions of agricultural development. Such research could inform strategies aimed at enhancing gender equality and empowering women in the agricultural sector, which is crucial for sustainable development.

Additionally, studies could explore how policies that promote large-scale industrial farming impact traditional family farming practices and rural household structures. The shift towards industrial agriculture often alters family dynamics, leading to changes in labor contributions, resource allocation, and overall household well-being. Understanding these shifts can help policymakers design interventions that respect and preserve the strengths of family farming while promoting economic viability (Adhikari, 2018, Nichols, 2021). Furthermore, the impact of agricultural policies on health and nutrition within rural households is another important area for future research. Investigating how agricultural development initiatives influence food security, dietary diversity, and health outcomes can provide insights into the holistic impact of agricultural policies on socioeconomic well-being. This understanding is especially relevant in the context of rising food insecurity and health disparities in rural communities, both in the U.S. and Africa.

Moreover, future research should consider the role of technology in agricultural development and its implications for social structures. The introduction of new agricultural technologies can have profound effects on labor dynamics, skill requirements, and resource distribution within rural communities (Adenle, Azadi & Manning, 2018, Noll & Rivera, 2023). Investigating how technology adoption affects different social groups—such as smallholder farmers versus larger agricultural enterprises—will yield critical insights into the future of agricultural development. Understanding the potential benefits and drawbacks of technological advancements can help shape policies that leverage technology for inclusive growth.

In conclusion, the impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being is a multifaceted issue that warrants extensive future research. By examining the role of social mobility in agricultural communities, the interplay between economic development and social inequality, and the sociological impacts of agricultural policies on rural household dynamics, researchers can contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities involved in agricultural development (Achterbosch, et al., 2014, Nwachukwu, 2015, Zugravu-Soilita, et al., 2021). These insights will be instrumental in informing U.S. policies aimed at promoting rural poverty reduction and fostering inclusive growth. As agricultural development continues to evolve in both African contexts and the U.S., addressing these research areas will be crucial for ensuring that agricultural policies are equitable, sustainable, and beneficial for all members of rural communities. By leveraging the lessons learned from African case studies, U.S. policymakers can craft more effective strategies that recognize the importance of social structures and inequalities in shaping agricultural outcomes.

---

## 6. Conclusion

The impact of agricultural development on socioeconomic well-being is a complex and multifaceted issue that intersects with various sociological factors. Through the examination of African case studies, it becomes clear that elements such as class, ethnicity, and community networks play crucial roles in shaping agricultural outcomes. These insights reveal significant disparities in access to resources and opportunities, underscoring the need for policies that not only promote economic growth but also address the social inequalities that persist within agricultural communities. In particular, the influence of class on resource distribution and the role of ethnicity in access to land and subsidies highlight how social structures can either facilitate or hinder the benefits derived from agricultural development.

Moreover, the importance of community networks cannot be overstated. These networks facilitate knowledge exchange, resource sharing, and resilience in the face of challenges, making them vital to the success of agricultural initiatives. By fostering strong community ties, agricultural development can lead to more equitable outcomes that benefit a broader spectrum of the population. The insights gained from these African case studies offer valuable lessons for U.S. policies aimed at reducing rural poverty and promoting inclusive growth. By recognizing and addressing the sociological dimensions of agricultural development, policymakers can create more effective strategies that enhance socioeconomic well-being in rural areas.



In conclusion, it is imperative that future agricultural policies in the U.S. integrate sociological insights to foster inclusivity and equity. As the landscape of agricultural development continues to evolve, the lessons learned from African experiences can guide U.S. policymakers in designing initiatives that not only stimulate economic growth but also actively reduce social disparities. This approach will require a commitment to understanding the unique social dynamics at play in rural communities and a willingness to adapt policies accordingly. By prioritizing the integration of sociological perspectives, we can ensure that agricultural development contributes positively to the socioeconomic fabric of rural America, leading to more resilient and prosperous communities. Ultimately, this commitment to inclusivity will not only enhance the effectiveness of agricultural policies but also promote a more just and equitable society for all.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

## References

- [1] Achterbosch, T. J., van Berkum, S., Meijerink, G. W., Asbreuk, H., & Oudendag, D. A. (2014). *Cash crops and food security: Contributions to income, livelihood risk and agricultural innovation* (No. 2014-15). LEI.
- [2] Adenle, A. A., Azadi, H., & Manning, L. (2018). The era of sustainable agricultural development in Africa: Understanding the benefits and constraints. *Food reviews international*, 34(5), 411-433.
- [3] Adhikari, S. (2018). Drought impact and adaptation strategies in the mid-hill farming system of western Nepal. *Environments*, 5(9), 101.
- [4] Akande, A. O. (2021). The Role of Market-Driven Initiatives and Support for Rural Poverty Alleviation-a Case Study of AgResults Program in Nigeria.
- [5] Akinnagbe, O. M., & Irohibe, I. J. (2014). Agricultural adaptation strategies to climate change impacts in Africa: A review.
- [6] Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2017). The adaptation and mitigation potential of traditional agriculture in a changing climate. *Climatic change*, 140, 33-45.
- [7] Altieri, M. A., Nicholls, C. I., Henao, A., & Lana, M. A. (2015). Agroecology and the design of climate change-resilient farming systems. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 35(3), 869-890.
- [8] Antonucci, T. C., Bial, M., Cox, C., Finkelstein, R., & Machado, L. (2019). The role of psychology in addressing worldwide challenges of poverty and gender inequality. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*.
- [9] Ba, M. N. (2016). Strategic agricultural commodity value chains in Africa for increased food: the regional approach for food security. *Agricultural Sciences*, 7(09), 549.
- [10] Baalbaki, C., & El Khoury, A. (2024). Rural entrepreneurship and inequality: exploring trends in emerging economies through the lens of Lebanon. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*
- [11] Barrett, C. B., Christiaensen, L., Sheahan, M., & Shimeles, A. (2017). On the structural transformation of rural Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 26(suppl\_1), i11-i35.
- [12] Beegle, K., & Christiaensen, L. (Eds.). (2019). *Accelerating poverty reduction in Africa*. World Bank Publications.
- [13] Bello, K. M. (2020). Economic importance of agriculture for poverty reduction in Nigeria. *Decent work and economic growth. Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals*. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71058-7\\_124-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71058-7_124-1).
- [14] Besky, S., & Brown, S. (2015). Looking for work: Placing labor in food studies. *Labor: Studies in Working-Class History of the Americas*, 12(1-2), 19-43.
- [15] Bizikova, L., Nkonya, E., Minah, M., Hanisch, M., Turaga, R. M. R., Speranza, C. I., ... & Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1(10), 620-630.
- [16] Bluwstein, J., Lund, J. F., Askew, K., Stein, H., Noe, C., Odgaard, R., ... & Engström, L. (2018). Between dependence and deprivation: The interlocking nature of land alienation in Tanzania. *Journal of agrarian change*, 18(4), 806-830.

- [17] Brown, S. E., Miller, D. C., Ordonez, P. J., & Baylis, K. (2018). Evidence for the impacts of agroforestry on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-being in high-income countries: a systematic map protocol. *Environmental evidence*, 7, 1-16.
- [18] Bruno, J. E., Fernandez-Gimenez, M. E., & Balgopal, M. M. (2021). An integrated livelihoods and well-being framework to understand northeastern Colorado ranchers' adaptive strategies. *Ecology & Society*, 26(4).
- [19] Calcagnini, G., & Perugini, F. (2019). Social capital and well-being in the Italian provinces. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 68, 100668.
- [20] Carrillo, I., Quisumbing King, K., & Schafft, K. A. (2021). Race, Ethnicity, and Twenty-First Century Rural Sociological Imaginings: A Special Issue Introduction. *Rural Sociology*, 86(3), 419-443.
- [21] Castle, S. E., Miller, D. C., Ordonez, P. J., Baylis, K., & Hughes, K. (2021). The impacts of agroforestry interventions on agricultural productivity, ecosystem services, and human well-being in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 17(2), e1167.
- [22] Cattaneo, A., Adukia, A., Brown, D. L., Christiaensen, L., Evans, D. K., Haakenstad, A., ... & Weiss, D. J. (2022). Economic and social development along the urban–rural continuum: New opportunities to inform policy. *World Development*, 157, 105941.
- [23] Chigbu, U. E., Paradza, G., & Dachaga, W. (2019). Differentiations in women's land tenure experiences: Implications for women's land access and tenure security in sub-Saharan Africa. *Land*, 8(2), 22.
- [24] Collier, P., & Dercon, S. (2014). African agriculture in 50 years: smallholders in a rapidly changing world?. *World development*, 63, 92-101.
- [25] Cousins, B. (2019). Land reform, accumulation and social reproduction: The South African experience in global and historical perspective. *Inkanyiso: Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 11(1), 1-12.
- [26] Cousins, B., Dubb, A., Hornby, D., & Mtero, F. (2020). Social reproduction of 'classes of labour' in the rural areas of South Africa: Contradictions and contestations. In *Agrarian Marxism* (pp. 208-233). Routledge.
- [27] Dabson, B. (2020). Equitable Recovery and Resilience in Rural America.
- [28] Dancer, H., & Hossain, N. (2018). Social difference and women's empowerment in the context of the commercialisation of African agriculture. *APRA Working Paper*, 8, 25-52.
- [29] Das, K. V., Jones-Harrell, C., Fan, Y., Ramaswami, A., Orlove, B., & Botchwey, N. (2020). Understanding subjective well-being: perspectives from psychology and public health. *Public Health Reviews*, 41, 1-32.
- [30] Diehl, J. A. (2023). Vulnerable, yet Resilient. In *Grown in Delhi: A Political Ecology of Social Networks and Agency Among Yamuna Farmers* (pp. 9-31). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [31] Ensor, J. E., Mohan, T., Forrester, J., Khisa, U. K., Karim, T., & Howley, P. (2021). Opening space for equity and justice in resilience: A subjective approach to household resilience assessment. *Global Environmental Change*, 68, 102251.
- [32] Gatwiri, K., Amboko, J., & Okolla, D. (2020). The implications of Neoliberalism on African economies, health outcomes and wellbeing: a conceptual argument. *Social Theory & Health*, 18(1), 86.
- [33] Gautam, Y., & Andersen, P. (2016). Rural livelihood diversification and household well-being: Insights from Humla, Nepal. *Journal of rural studies*, 44, 239-249.
- [34] Giller, K. E., Delaune, T., Silva, J. V., Descheemaeker, K., van de Ven, G., Schut, A. G., ... & van Ittersum, M. K. (2021). The future of farming: Who will produce our food?. *Food Security*, 13(5), 1073-1099.
- [35] Giordano, M., & de Fraiture, C. (2014). Small private irrigation: Enhancing benefits and managing trade-offs. *Agricultural Water Management*, 131, 175-182.
- [36] Glover, D. (2018). Farming as a performance: a conceptual and methodological contribution to the ecology of practices. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 25(1), 686-702.
- [37] Gómez-Limón, J. A., Vera-Toscano, E., & Garrido-Fernández, F. E. (2014). Farmers' Contribution to Agricultural Social Capital: Evidence from Southern Spain. *Rural Sociology*, 79(3), 380-410.
- [38] Gordon, J. (2022). Food Security and Nutrition Inequities in the Context of Agricultural Commercialisation in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Narrative Literature Review.

- [39] Gordon, K., Wilson, J., Tonner, A., & Shaw, E. (2018). How can social enterprises impact health and well-being?. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 24(3), 697-713.
- [40] Greer, Y. D. (2022). *Social Capital and Food Insecurity in Two Counties in Wisconsin* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- [41] Hall, R., Scoones, I., & Tsikata, D. (2017). Plantations, outgrowers and commercial farming in Africa: agricultural commercialisation and implications for agrarian change. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 44(3), 515-537.
- [42] Halstead, J. M., & Deller, S. C. (Eds.). (2015). *Social capital at the community level: An applied interdisciplinary perspective*. Routledge.
- [43] Hausermann, H., Ferring, D., Atosona, B., Mentz, G., Amankwah, R., Chang, A., ... & Sastri, N. (2018). Land-grabbing, land-use transformation and social differentiation: Deconstructing "small-scale" in Ghana's recent gold rush. *World Development*, 108, 103-114.
- [44] Horst, M., McClintock, N., & Hoey, L. (2024). The intersection of planning, urban agriculture, and food justice: A review of the literature. *Planning for Equitable Urban Agriculture in the United States: Future Directions for a New Ethic in City Building*, 89-120.
- [45] Huyer, S., Simelton, E., Chanana, N., Mulema, A. A., & Marty, E. (2021). Expanding opportunities: A framework for gender and socially-inclusive climate resilient agriculture. *Frontiers in Climate*, 3, 718240.
- [46] Iglesias, A., & Garrote, L. (2015). Adaptation strategies for agricultural water management under climate change in Europe. *Agricultural water management*, 155, 113-124.
- [47] Javed, M. S., Nisar, U., Warsi, S. H., Billah, M. M., & Karkkulainen, E. A. (2024). Mapping The Disparities Between Urban And Rural Areas In The Global Attainment Of Sustainable Development Goals, Economic And Social Aspects Of Global Rural-Urban Migration. *Educational Administration: Theory And Practice*, 30(6), 2052-2064.
- [48] Jayne, T. S., Chamberlin, J., & Headey, D. D. (2014). Land pressures, the evolution of farming systems, and development strategies in Africa: A synthesis. *Food policy*, 48, 1-17.
- [49] Johnson, N. L., Kovarik, C., Meinzen-Dick, R., Njuki, J., & Quisumbing, A. (2016). Gender, assets, and agricultural development: Lessons from eight projects. *World development*, 83, 295-311.
- [50] Kabini, D. M. (2022). *The impact of the agricultural sector on economic growth and development in South Africa* (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University (South Africa)).
- [51] Kaur, T. (2016). Sporting lives and "development" agendas: a critical analysis of sport and "development" nexus in the context of farm workers of the Western Cape.
- [52] Kebede, E. (2020). Grain legumes production and productivity in Ethiopian smallholder agricultural system, contribution to livelihoods and the way forward. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 6(1), 1722353.
- [53] Kirori, G. N. (2015). Social capital as a strategy for promoting rural livelihoods: case for Kenya.
- [54] Leach, M., Nisbett, N., Cabral, L., Harris, J., Hossain, N., & Thompson, J. (2020). Food politics and development. *World development*, 134, 105024.
- [55] Lobao, L. (2016). The sociology of subnational development. *The sociology of development handbook*, 265.
- [56] Logan, R. I., & Castañeda, H. (2020). Addressing health disparities in the rural United States: advocacy as caregiving among community health workers and Promotores de Salud. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24), 9223.
- [57] Lopes, C. (2015). Agriculture as part of Africa's structural transformation. *Journal of African Transformation*, 1(1), 43-61.
- [58] Lopes, G. R., Lima, M. G. B., & Dos Reis, T. N. (2021). Maldevelopment revisited: Inclusiveness and social impacts of soy expansion over Brazil's Cerrado in Matopiba. *World Development*, 139, 105316.
- [59] Lopes, G. R., Lima, M. G. B., & Dos Reis, T. N. (2021). Maldevelopment revisited: Inclusiveness and social impacts of soy expansion over Brazil's Cerrado in Matopiba. *World Development*, 139, 105316.
- [60] Mabhaudhi, T., Nhamo, L., Mpandeli, S., Nhemachena, C., Senzanje, A., Sobratee, N., ... & Modi, A. T. (2019). The water-energy-food nexus as a tool to transform rural livelihoods and well-being in Southern Africa. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(16), 2970.

- [61] Manlosa, A. O. (2019). *Leveraging livelihoods for a food secure future* (Doctoral dissertation, by Medien-und Informationszentrum, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg Files in This Item: File Size Format Doctoral\_thesis\_AOManlosa\_Leveraging\_livelihoods\_for\_food\_secure\_future. pdf MD5: d6d8f6ba6219e9e36c62e80069aba7b5 License: Nutzung nach Urheberrecht open-access 4.08 MB Adobe PDF View/Open Items in PubData are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated. Views Show full item record).
- [62] Manlosa, A. O., Schultner, J., Dorresteijn, I., & Fischer, J. (2019). Leverage points for improving gender equality and human well-being in a smallholder farming context. *Sustainability Science*, 14, 529-541.
- [63] McAreavey, R. (2022). Finding rural community resilience: Understanding the role of anchor institutions. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 96, 227-236.
- [64] McArthur, J. W., & McCord, G. C. (2017). Fertilizing growth: Agricultural inputs and their effects in economic development. *Journal of development economics*, 127, 133-152.
- [65] McKinnon, M. C., Cheng, S. H., Dupre, S., Edmond, J., Garside, R., Glew, L., ... & Woodhouse, E. (2016). What are the effects of nature conservation on human well-being? A systematic map of empirical evidence from developing countries. *Environmental Evidence*, 5(1), 1-25.
- [66] Mellor, J. W. (2017). *Agricultural development and economic transformation: promoting growth with poverty reduction*. Springer.
- [67] Mkonda, M. Y., & He, X. (2016). Production trends of food crops, opportunities, challenges and prospects to improve Tanzanian rural livelihoods.
- [68] Modi, R. (2019). The role of agriculture for food security and poverty reduction in sub-Saharan Africa. *The Palgrave handbook of contemporary international political economy*, 391-410.
- [69] Mosse, D. (2018). Caste and development: Contemporary perspectives on a structure of discrimination and advantage. *World development*, 110, 422-436.
- [70] Mukasa, A. N., Woldemichael, A. D., Salami, A. O., & Simpasa, A. M. (2017). Africa's agricultural transformation: Identifying priority areas and overcoming challenges. *Africa Economic Brief*, 8(3), 1-16.
- [71] Nanetti, R. Y., & Holguin, C. (2016). *Social capital in development planning: Linking the actors*. Springer.
- [72] Nichols, C. (2021). Self-help groups as platforms for development: The role of social capital. *World Development*, 146, 105575.
- [73] Noll, D., & Rivera, M. (Eds.). (2023). *Enhancing sustainable rural development through social capital*. Imprensa Universidade de Évora.
- [74] Nwachukwu, S. C. (2015). Social capital, empowerment and development needs in South Eastern Nigeria (a case study of cooperatives in Owerri, Nigeria).
- [75] Olsson, L., & Jerneck, A. (2018). Social fields and natural systems. *Ecology and Society*, 23(3).
- [76] Ouma, S., Vogt-William, C., Obeng-Odoom, F., Oduro, A. D., Lewis, T. J., Pheko, L. L., ... & Kvangraven, I. (2023). Reconfiguring African Studies, reconfiguring economics: centring intersectionality and social stratification. *Critical African Studies*, 15(3), 239-259.
- [77] Oyakhilomen, O., & Zibah, R. G. (2014). Agricultural production and economic growth in Nigeria: Implication for rural poverty alleviation. *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture*, 53(3), 207-223.
- [78] Papadopoulos, A. G., & Fratsea, L. M. (2021). Migrant and refugee impact on well-being in rural areas: reframing rural development challenges in Greece. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 6, 592750.
- [79] Parra Vázquez, M. R., Arce Ibarra, M., Bello Baltazar, E., & Gomes de Araujo, L. (2020). Local socio-environmental systems as a transdisciplinary conceptual framework. *Socio-Environmental Regimes and Local Visions: Transdisciplinary Experiences in Latin America*, 3-24.
- [80] Peters, P. (2018). Land grabs: The politics of the land rush across Africa. In *Oxford research encyclopedia of politics*.
- [81] Prayitno, G., Hayat, A., Efendi, A., Tarno, H., Fikriyah, & Fauziah, S. H. (2022). Structural model of social capital and quality of life of farmers in supporting sustainable agriculture (Evidence: Sedayulawas Village, Lamongan Regency-Indonesia). *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12487.

- [82] Quisumbing, A. R., Rubin, D., Manfre, C., Waithanji, E., Van den Bold, M., Olney, D., ... & Meinzen-Dick, R. (2015). Gender, assets, and market-oriented agriculture: learning from high-value crop and livestock projects in Africa and Asia. *Agriculture and human values*, 32, 705-725.
- [83] Rafael, B. M. (2023). The importance of agricultural development projects: a focus on sustenance and employment creation in Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, Rwanda, and Uganda. *Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Environment*, 12(2), 152-170.
- [84] Rao, C. S., Gopinath, K. A., Prasad, J. V. N. S., & Singh, A. K. (2016). Climate resilient villages for sustainable food security in tropical India: concept, process, technologies, institutions, and impacts. *Advances in Agronomy*, 140, 101-214.
- [85] Raymond-Flesch, M., Auerswald, C., McGlone, L., Comfort, M., & Minnis, A. (2017). Building social capital to promote adolescent wellbeing: a qualitative study with teens in a Latino agricultural community. *BMC public health*, 17, 1-9.
- [86] Reardon, T., & Timmer, C. P. (2014). Five inter-linked transformations in the Asian agrifood economy: Food security implications. *Global Food Security*, 3(2), 108-117.
- [87] Reardon, T., Echeverria, R., Berdegú, J., Minten, B., Liverpool-Tasie, S., Tschirley, D., & Zilberman, D. (2019). Rapid transformation of food systems in developing regions: Highlighting the role of agricultural research & innovations. *Agricultural systems*, 172, 47-59.
- [88] Rivera, M., Knickel, K., de los Rios, I., Ashkenazy, A., Pears, D. Q., Chebach, T., & Šūmane, S. (2018). Rethinking the connections between agricultural change and rural prosperity: A discussion of insights derived from case studies in seven countries. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 59, 242-251.
- [89] Semali, L. M. (Ed.). (2021). *Sociological Perspectives on Sustainable Development and Poverty Reduction in Rural Populations*. IGI Global.
- [90] Shucksmith, M., & Brown, D. L. (Eds.). (2016). *Routledge international handbook of rural studies* (p. 697). London: Routledge.
- [91] Srivastav, A. L., Dhyani, R., Ranjan, M., Madhav, S., & Sillanpää, M. (2021). Climate-resilient strategies for sustainable management of water resources and agriculture. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(31), 41576-41595.
- [92] Taylor, M., & Bhasme, S. (2018). Model farmers, extension networks and the politics of agricultural knowledge transfer. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 64, 1-10.
- [93] Tickamyer, A. R., & Patel-Campillo, A. (2016). Sociological perspectives on uneven development. *G., Hooks (Ed.). The sociology of Development Handbook*, 293-310.
- [94] Tomich, T. P., Kilby, P., & Johnston, B. F. (2018). *Transforming agrarian economies: Opportunities seized, opportunities missed*. Cornell University Press.
- [95] Voola, A. P., Voola, R., Wyllie, J., Carlson, J., & Sridharan, S. (2018). Families and food: exploring food well-being in poverty. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(12), 2423-2448.
- [96] Voola, A. P., Voola, R., Wyllie, J., Carlson, J., & Sridharan, S. (2018). Families and food: exploring food well-being in poverty. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(12), 2423-2448.
- [97] Weaich, M. (2024). Exploring the Transdisciplinary Nexus of Young People's Future Livelihoods and Relational Well-Being: A Bibliometric Approach.
- [98] Wegenast, T., Khanna, A. A., & Schneider, G. (2020). The micro-foundations of the resource curse: Mineral ownership and local economic well-being in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International studies quarterly*, 64(3), 530-543.
- [99] Wegerif, M. C., & Guereña, A. (2020). Land inequality trends and drivers. *Land*, 9(4), 101.
- [100] Werhane, P. H., Newton, L., & Wolfe, R. (2020). *Alleviating poverty through profitable partnerships: Globalization, markets, and economic well-being*. Routledge.
- [101] Woldemichael, A., Salami, A., Mukasa, A., Simpasa, A., & Shimeles, A. (2017). Transforming Africa's agriculture through agro-industrialization. *Africa Economic Brief*, 8(7), 1-12.
- [102] Wynne-Jones, S. (2017). Understanding farmer co-operation: Exploring practices of social relatedness and emergent affects. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 53, 259-268.

- [103] Yuill, C., Mueller-Hirth, N., Song Tung, N., Thi Kim Dung, N., Tram, P. T., & Mabon, L. (2019). Landscape and well-being: A conceptual framework and an example. *Health, 23*(2), 122-138.
- [104] Zerssa, G., Feyssa, D., Kim, D. G., & Eichler-Löbermann, B. (2021). Challenges of smallholder farming in Ethiopia and opportunities by adopting climate-smart agriculture. *Agriculture, 11*(3), 192.
- [105] Zimmerer, K. S., Lambin, E. F., & Vanek, S. J. (2018). Smallholder telecoupling and potential sustainability. *Ecology and Society, 23*(1).
- [106] Zipin, L., Sellar, S., Brennan, M., & Gale, T. (2015). Educating for futures in marginalized regions: A sociological framework for rethinking and researching aspirations. *Educational philosophy and theory, 47*(3), 227-246.
- [107] Zorrilla-Miras, P., López-Moya, E., Metzger, M. J., Patenaude, G., Siteo, A., Mahamane, M., ... & López-Gunn, E. (2021). Understanding complex relationships between human well-being and land use change in Mozambique using a multi-scale participatory scenario planning process. *Sustainability, 13*(23), 13030.
- [108] Zugravu-Soilita, N., Kafrouni, R., Bouard, S., & Apithy, L. (2021). Do cultural capital and social capital matter for economic performance? An empirical investigation of tribal agriculture in New Caledonia. *Ecological Economics, 182*, 106933.